

Initial proposals for new Parliamentary constituency boundaries in the **South East** region



Contents

	Summary	2
	Who we are and what we do	2
	The 2023 Review	2
	Initial proposals	2
	What is changing in the South East region?	2
	How to have your say	4
1	What is the Boundary Commission for England?	5
2	Background to the 2023 Review	6
	The rules in the legislation	7
	Timetable for our review	8
	Stage one - development of initial proposals	8
	Stage two - consultation on initial proposals	g
	Stage three – consultation on representations received	S
	Stage four – development and publication of revised	10
	proposals Stage five – development and publication of the final	IC
	report and recommendations	10
3	Initial proposals for the South East region	11
	Initial proposals for the Buckinghamshire sub-region	14
	Initial proposals for the Oxfordshire sub-region	16
	Initial proposals for the Berkshire, Hampshire, and	
	Surrey sub-region	18
	Initial proposals for the Sussex sub-region	24
	Initial proposals for the Kent sub-region	27
	Initial proposals for the Isle of Wight sub-region	30
4	How to have your say	31
	How can you give us your views?	32
	What do we want views on?	33
	Appendix: Initial proposals for constituencies,	
	including wards and electorates	34
	Glossary	74

Summary

Who we are and what we do

The Boundary Commission for England (BCE) is an independent and impartial non-departmental public body, which is responsible for reviewing Parliamentary constituency boundaries in England.

The 2023 Review

We have the task of periodically reviewing the boundaries of all the Parliamentary constituencies in England. We are currently conducting a review on the basis of legislative rules most recently updated by Parliament in 2020. Those rules tell us that we must make recommendations for new Parliamentary constituency boundaries by 1 July 2023. While retaining the overall number of constituencies across the UK at 650, the rules apply a distribution formula that results in an increase in the number of constituencies in England (from 533 to 543). The rules also require that every recommended constituency across the UK – apart from five specified exceptions (two of them in England) – must have an electorate that is no smaller than 69,724 and no larger than 77,062.

Initial proposals

We published our initial proposals for the new Parliamentary constituency boundaries in England on 8 June 2021. Information about the proposed constituencies is now available on our website at www.boundarycommissionforengland.independent.gov.uk

What is changing in the South East region?

The South East has been allocated 91 constituencies – an increase of seven from the current number. This includes two protected constituencies on the Isle of Wight.

Our proposals leave 13 of the 84 existing constituencies wholly unchanged, and three unchanged except to realign constituency boundaries with new or prospective local government ward boundaries.

As it has not always been possible to allocate whole numbers of constituencies to individual counties, we have grouped some county council and unitary authority areas into sub-regions. The number of constituencies allocated to each sub-region is determined by the combined electorate of the local authorities they contain.

Consequently, it has been necessary to propose some constituencies that cross county council or unitary authority boundaries, although we have sought to keep such crossings to a minimum.

Sub-region	Existing allocation	Proposed allocation
Berkshire ¹ , Hampshire ² , and Surrey	37	39
Buckinghamshire ³	7	8
Sussex ⁴	16	17
Isle of Wight	1	2
Kent ⁵	17	18
Oxfordshire	6	7

In Berkshire, Hampshire, and Surrey, it has been necessary to propose two constituencies that cross county boundaries. We have proposed one constituency that contains electors from both Berkshire and Surrey, which combines the town of Windsor and the town of Egham. We have also proposed one constituency that contains electors from both Surrey and Hampshire, which combines the town of Bordon from the district of East Hampshire in a constituency with the towns of Farnham and Haslemere in Surrey's Borough of Waverley.

In Sussex, it has been necessary to propose one constituency that crosses the boundary between East Sussex and West Sussex. We have proposed that this constituency contain electors from three districts (Lewes, Mid Sussex, and Wealden), combining the towns of East Grinstead and Uckfield.

In Buckinghamshire, the Isle of Wight, Kent, and Oxfordshire it has been possible to propose a pattern of constituencies that is within the boundaries of each county.

In formulating our initial proposals we identified that it was necessary to divide a limited number of wards between constituencies. We propose dividing three wards as part of our initial proposals for the South East.

¹ Comprising the unitary authorities of Bracknell Forest, Reading, Slough, West Berkshire, Windsor and Maidenhead, and Wokingham, hereafter referred to as Berkshire.

² Comprising the unitary authorities of Portsmouth and Southampton, and the county council of Hampshire, hereafter referred to as Hampshire.

³ Comprising the unitary authorities of Buckinghamshire and Milton Keynes, hereafter referred to as Buckinghamshire.

⁴ Comprising the unitary authority of Brighton and Hove and the county councils of East Sussex and West Sussex, hereafter referred to as 'Sussex'.

⁵ Comprising the unitary authority of Medway and the county council of Kent, hereafter referred to as Kent.

How to have your say

We are consulting on our initial proposals for an eight-week period, from 8 June 2021 to 2 August 2021. We encourage everyone to use this opportunity to help us shape the new constituencies – the more views we hear, the more informed our decisions will be when considering whether to revise our proposals. Our consultation portal at www.bcereviews.org.uk has more information about our proposals and how to give us your views on them. You can also follow us on Twitter @BCEReviews or at facebook.com/BCEReviews.

1 What is the Boundary Commission for England?

- As already mentioned, BCE is an independent and impartial non-departmental public body, which is required to review Parliamentary constituency boundaries in England. We must conduct a review of all the constituencies in England every eight years. Our role is to make recommendations to Parliament for new constituency boundaries.
- 2 The Chair of the Commission is the Speaker of the House of Commons, but by convention he does not participate in the review. The Deputy Chair and two further commissioners take decisions on proposals and recommendations for new constituency boundaries. Further information about the commissioners can be found on our regular website.

You can find further information on our regular website at www.boundarycommissionforengland.independent.gov.uk, or on our consultation portal at www.bcereviews.org.uk. You can also contact us with any general enquiries by emailing information@boundarycommissionengland.gov.uk, or by calling 020 7276 1102.

2 Background to the2023 Review

- We are currently conducting a review of Parliamentary constituency boundaries on the basis of rules most recently updated by Parliament in 2020.⁶ These rules require us to make more equal the number of electors in each constituency. This report covers only the work of the Boundary Commission for England (there are separate commissions for Northern Ireland, Scotland and Wales) and, in particular, introduces our initial proposals for the South East.
- The legislation states that there will be 650 Parliamentary constituencies covering the UK the same as the current number. England has been allocated 543 constituencies for the 2023 Review, ten more than there are currently. There are also other rules that the Commission has regard to when conducting the review a full set of the rules can be found in our Guide to the 2023 Review⁷ published in May 2021, but they are also summarised later in this chapter. Most significantly, the rules require every constituency we recommend (with the exception of two covering the Isle of Wight) to contain no fewer than 69,724 electors and no more than 77,062.
- This is a significant change to the old rules under which Parliamentary boundary reviews took place, in which achieving as close to the average number of electors in each constituency was an aim, but there was no statutory fixed minimum and maximum number of electors. This, together with the passage of time since constituencies were last updated (based on data from 2000), means that in England, existing constituencies currently range from 54,551 to 111,716 electors. Achieving a more even distribution of electors in every constituency across England, together with the increase in the total number of constituencies, means that a significant amount of change to the existing map of constituencies is inevitable.
- Our Guide to the 2023 Review contains further detailed background information, and explains all of the policies and procedures that we are following in conducting the review. We encourage anyone wishing to respond to the review to read this document, which will give them a greater understanding of the rules and constraints placed on the Commission, especially if they are intending to comment on our initial proposals and/or make their own counter-proposals.

⁶ The Parliamentary Constituencies Act 2020, available at www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2020/25/contents

⁷ Available at www.bcereviews.org.uk and at all places of deposit.

The rules in the legislation

- As well as the primary rule that constituencies must have no fewer than 69,724 electors and no more than 77,062, the legislation also states that, when deciding on boundaries, the Commission may take into account:
 - special geographical considerations, including in particular the size, shape and accessibility of a constituency;
 - local government boundaries which existed, or were prospective, on 1 December 2020;
 - boundaries of existing constituencies;
 - any local ties that would be broken by changes in constituencies; and
 - the inconveniences attendant on such changes.
- In relation to local government boundaries in particular, it should be noted that for a given area, where we choose to take account of local government boundaries, if there are prospective boundaries (as at 1 December 2020), it is those, rather than existing boundaries, of which account may be taken. This is a significant change to the former legislation, which referred only to the local government boundaries as they actually existed on the relevant date.
- Our initial proposals for the South East (and the accompanying maps) are therefore based on local government boundaries that existed, or where relevant were prospective, on 1 December 2020. Our Guide to the 2023 Review outlines further our policy on how, and to what extent, we take into account local government boundaries. We have used the existing and prospective wards as at 1 December 2020 of unitary authorities, and borough and district councils (in areas where there is also a county council) as the basic building blocks for our proposals.
- In a number of existing constituencies, changes to local government wards since those constituencies were last updated (in 2010) have resulted in the new ward effectively being split, between the constituency the old ward was wholly a part of, and at least one other existing constituency. As part of our proposals, we will by default seek to realign the boundaries of constituencies with up-to-date ward boundaries, thus reuniting wards that are currently divided between existing constituencies. In places where there has been only minor change to a ward, this may see an existing constituency boundary change only very slightly to realign with the new ward. However, where wards in an area have been changed more significantly, this may result in the area covered by the new ward becoming part of a different constituency than the one in which the area was previously.

- 11 Although the 2023 Review of Parliamentary constituencies will inevitably result in significant change, we have also taken into account the boundaries of existing constituencies so far as we can. We have tried to retain existing constituencies as part of our initial proposals wherever possible, as long as the other factors can also be satisfied. This, however, has proved difficult. Our initial proposals retain just over 15% of the existing constituencies in the South East region the remainder are new constituencies (although in a number of cases the changes to the existing constituencies are fairly minor).
- Our proposals are based on the nine English regions as defined in the legislation: a description of the extent of each region also appears in the Guide to the 2023 Review. This report relates to the South East region. There are eight other separate reports containing our initial proposals for the other regions. You can find more details in our Guide to the 2023 Review and on our website. While our use of the regions does not prevent anyone from making proposals to us that cross regional boundaries (for example, between the South East and South West regions), very compelling reasons would need to be given to persuade the Commission to depart from the region-based approach. The Commission has previously consulted on the use of the English regions as discrete areas, and this was strongly supported.

Timetable for our review

Stage one – development of initial proposals

13 We began this review in January 2021. We published electorate data from 2 March 2020 (the relevant date specified by the legislation) for each local government ward in England, including – where relevant – wards that were prospective on 1 December 2020. The electorate data were provided by local authorities and the Office for National Statistics. These are available on our website and are the data that must be used throughout the remainder of the review process. The Commission has since then considered the statutory factors outlined above and drawn up the initial proposals. We published our initial proposals for consultation for each of England's nine regions on 8 June 2021.

⁸ This figure excludes constituencies that have been changed only to realign with changed local government boundaries.

We ask people to be aware that, in publishing our initial proposals, we do so without suggesting that they are in some way definitive, or that they provide the 'right answer' – they are our starting point for consulting on the changes. We have taken into account the existing constituencies, local government boundaries, and geographical features, to produce a set of constituencies that are within the permitted electorate range and that we consider to be the best balance between those factors at this point. What we do not yet have is sufficient evidence of how our proposals reflect or break local community ties, although we have drawn on evidence of such ties provided in previous reviews. One of the most important purposes of the consultation period is to seek up-to-date evidence that will enable us to test the strength of our initial proposals, and revise them where appropriate.

Stage two – consultation on initial proposals

We are consulting on our initial proposals for eight weeks, from 8 June 2021 until 2 August 2021. Chapter 4 outlines how you can contribute during the consultation period. Once the consultation has closed, the Commission will collate all the responses received.

Stage three – consultation on representations received

We are required to publish all the responses we receive on our initial proposals. This publication will mark the start of a six-week 'secondary consultation' period, which we currently plan to take place in early 2022. The purpose of the secondary consultation is for people to see what others have said in response to our initial proposals, and to make comments on those views, for example by countering an argument, or by supporting and reinforcing what others have said. You will be able to see all the comments on our website, and use the site to give us your views on what others have said. We will also be hosting between two and five public hearings in each region, where you will be able to give your views directly to one of our assistant commissioners. We will publish the exact number, dates and venues for those hearings nearer the time.

Stage four - development and publication of revised proposals

17 Once we have all the representations and comments from both the initial and secondary consultation periods, the Commission will analyse those representations and decide whether changes should be made to the initial proposals. If we decide that the evidence presented to us persuades us to change our initial proposals, then we must publish our revised proposals for the areas concerned, and consult on them for a further period of four weeks. This is likely to be towards the end of 2022. When we consult on our revised proposals, there will be no further public hearings. You will be able to see all our revised proposals, and give us your views on them, on our website.

Stage five – development and publication of the final report and recommendations

- Finally, following the consultation on revised proposals, we will consider all the evidence received at this stage, and throughout the review, before determining our final recommendations. The recommendations will be set out in a published report to the Speaker of the House of Commons, who will lay it before Parliament on our behalf, at which time we will also publish the report. The legislation states that we must submit that report to the Speaker by 1 July 2023. Further details about what the Government must then do with our recommendations in order to implement them are contained in our Guide to the 2023 Review.
- 19 Throughout each consultation we will be taking all reasonable steps to publicise our proposals, so that as many people as possible are aware of the consultation and can take the opportunity to contribute to our review of constituencies.

3 Initial proposals for the South East region

- 20 The South East region comprises the counties of Berkshire, Buckinghamshire, East Sussex, Hampshire, the Isle of Wight, Kent, Oxfordshire, Surrey, and West Sussex. The region has a mixture of district and county councils, and unitary authorities.
- The South East currently has 84 constituencies. Of these constituencies, 35 have electorates within the permitted electorate range. The electorates of 47 constituencies currently fall above the 5% limit from the electoral quota, while the electorates of just two constituencies are below.
- Our initial proposals for the South East are for 91 constituencies, an increase of seven. This includes two protected constituencies that must by law be allocated to the Isle of Wight, and which do not need to adhere to the restrictions of the statutory electorate range.
- In seeking to produce 89 constituencies (not including the two Isle of Wight constituencies), our first step was to consider whether local authorities could be usefully grouped into sub-regions. We were mindful of seeking to respect, where we could, the external boundaries of local authorities. Our approach in attempting to group local authority areas together in sub-regions was based both on trying to respect county boundaries wherever possible and in achieving (where we could) obvious practical groupings, such as those dictated in some places by the geography of the area.
- Our division of the South East into sub-regions is a practical approach.

 We welcome counter-proposals from respondents to our consultation, based on other groupings of counties and unitary authorities, if the statutory factors can be better reflected in those counter-proposals.
- The distribution of electors across the nine counties of the South East is such that allocating a whole number of constituencies within each county, each falling within the permitted electorate range, is not possible.

- 26 Berkshire's electorate of 635,137 results in a mathematical entitlement to 8.65 constituencies. However, to allocate Berkshire nine constituencies would require average constituency sizes so close to the minimum permitted electorate that it would be impossible to realise in practice without an undesirable number of ward splits and/or significant disruption to local community ties. We have therefore proposed a sub-region pairing Berkshire with the neighbouring counties of Hampshire and Surrey, which therefore includes two constituencies that cross from Berkshire to Surrey, and Surrey to Hampshire respectively. Although neither Hampshire, including the unitary authorities of Portsmouth and Southampton, nor Surrey, with respective mathematical entitlements to 18.44 and 11.72 constituencies, require these crossings to build constituencies within the permitted electorate range, this would result in the preservation of a greater overall number of existing constituencies, particularly along the coast of Hampshire. The subregion of Berkshire, Hampshire, and Surrey together (with a total electorate of 2,848,212) has a mathematical entitlement to 38.81 constituencies; we have therefore allocated 39 constituencies, an increase of two. Of the two proposed constituencies that would cross county boundaries, one would combine the town of Windsor in Berkshire with the town of Egham in Surrey, and the other would combine the towns of Farnham and Haslemere in Surrey, with areas around the town of Bordon in Hampshire.
- The electorate of Milton Keynes, at 188,273, is too large to be allocated two whole constituencies; therefore, it is necessary to pair Milton Keynes with Buckinghamshire in one sub-region, given that Buckinghamshire is the only local authority in the South East that shares a boundary with Milton Keynes. As it has a combined electorate of 587,087, resulting in a mathematical entitlement to 8.00 constituencies, we propose to allocate eight constituencies to a Buckinghamshire and Milton Keynes sub-region, an increase of one.
- Oxfordshire's electorate of 499,731 results in a mathematical entitlement to 6.81 constituencies. We therefore consider Oxfordshire as a sub-region in its own right and have allocated it seven constituencies, an increase of one.
- The electorate of Kent, including the Medway unitary authority, is 1,325,000, resulting in a mathematical entitlement to 18.05 constituencies. We therefore consider Kent as a sub-region in its own right and have allocated it 18 constituencies, an increase of one.

- 30 The City of Brighton and Hove has 201,911 electors, which would result in an allocation of 2.75 constituencies. Given its historic links within East Sussex and the current configuration of constituencies, we considered that it would be appropriate to combine East Sussex with the City of Brighton and Hove when formulating a pattern of constituencies.
- 31 The electorate of East Sussex alone, at 414,451, results in a mathematical entitlement to 5.65 constituencies. When combined with the City of Brighton and Hove, this entitlement is 8.40 constituencies. Similar to the situation in Berkshire, mentioned above, a configuration of East Sussex and Brighton and Hove together with eight constituencies is mathematically possible, but would require average constituency sizes so close to the maximum permitted electorate that it would be impossible without an undesirable number of ward splits and/ or significant breaking of local ties. We therefore consider a sub-region pairing East Sussex (including Brighton and Hove) with West Sussex which includes one constituency that would cross the boundary between East and West Sussex in the north. Although West Sussex, with a mathematical entitlement to 8.81 constituencies, could be configured alone, the total mathematical entitlement to 17.21 constituencies for the Sussex sub-region allows for a pattern of constituencies which better reflects community ties overall. We have therefore allocated 17 constituencies to this sub-region, an increase of one.
- 32 The legislation that governs the 2023 Review requires that the Isle of Wight must be allocated two 'protected' constituencies (i.e. not subject to the requirements of the permitted electorate range that apply elsewhere in England), intentionally thereby avoiding the need to have a constituency that includes both part of the island and part of the mainland. This allocation of two constituencies is an increase of one.

Initial proposals for the Buckinghamshire sub-region

- Buckinghamshire, including the Milton Keynes unitary authority, is mathematically entitled to 8.00 constituencies and this results in an increase from seven to eight constituencies allocated for the sub-region. Only one constituency has an electorate within the permitted electorate range (Chesham and Amersham), with the other six constituencies all exceeding the permitted electorate range. The electorates of some of these remaining constituencies are significantly above the permitted range (such as Milton Keynes South at 96,543, Milton Keynes North at 91,730, and Aylesbury at 86,002).
- As a recently established unitary authority, Buckinghamshire is temporarily using the county electoral divisions of the now-defunct Buckinghamshire County Council as its electoral divisions (from hereon referred to as wards), in lieu of a full review from the Local Government Boundary Commission for England. The consequence of this is that the wards used for this Review are unusually large, both geographically and in terms of electorate size, for a primarily rural area. Our proposals are therefore limited by the difficulties posed by these wards, including the inability to prevent division of some communities without an unreasonable number of divided wards or geographically expansive constituencies that do not reflect local ties.
- Although Chesham and Amersham has the ability to remain unchanged, we consider that it is necessary to slightly modify the constituency, in order to accommodate a less disruptive pattern for adjacent constituencies. We propose that Chesham and Amersham would include the wards of Hazlemere and Beaconsfield. We also consider that a division of the Chiltern Ridges ward between the proposed Chesham and Amersham, and Princes Risborough constituencies, is necessary to reflect community ties in the area. Under our initial proposals, two polling districts (Asheridge Vale and Lowndes) that include part of the town of Chesham would be included within the Chesham and Amersham constituency. The remaining part of the Chiltern Ridges ward, which is more rural in nature, would be included in our Princes Risborough constituency. While both constituencies would still be within the permitted range without the division of this ward, we consider that doing so avoids dividing the town of Chesham, and therefore better reflects the statutory factors.
- 36 By including the Beaconsfield ward in the Chesham and Amersham constituency, we were able to retain the current Beaconsfield constituency otherwise unchanged. Of course, the name Beaconsfield would clearly no longer be appropriate; therefore, we propose to call this constituency Marlow and South Buckinghamshire. Similarly, transferring the Hazlemere ward from the existing Wycombe constituency to Chesham and Amersham allows the former to fall within the permitted electorate range with no further changes, other than to realign with changed local government ward boundaries. Although we noted that the village of Hazlemere is included in the High Wycombe urban area, we consider that it has

- a sufficiently independent identity, as well as road links to the east via the A404 (Amersham Road), such that it could be excluded without dividing the town of High Wycombe. We therefore propose to call this constituency High Wycombe, reflecting the focal point of the constituency.
- We propose a Princes Risborough constituency, largely comprising the southern part of the Aylesbury Vale area of Buckinghamshire Council. We recognise that this constituency extends over a large geographic area and that the town of Princes Risborough is a relatively small focal point. However, we consider that the constituency has a consistent rural character, and that other configurations of constituencies we looked at in this and the surrounding area would not better reflect the statutory factors.
- We propose that the Aylesbury constituency would include the town of Aylesbury itself, along with the wards of Ivinghoe and Wing to the north and east of the town. Although we acknowledge that this represents a departure from the existing orientation of the Aylesbury constituency, we consider that this proposed constituency is well connected via the A418 and B489 (Lower Icknield Way).
- We propose that the remaining wards at the north of the Buckinghamshire unitary authority, including the town of Buckingham, be included in a constituency that crosses the boundary with the unitary authority of Milton Keynes around Bletchley. A constituency that crosses this boundary is necessary, as the mathematical entitlement for the Borough of Milton Keynes to 2.57 constituencies prevents the allocation of a whole number of constituencies, and electorate can only be added from Buckingham, unless a regional boundary is crossed. Although we recognise that both Buckingham and Bletchley have their own identities, we note that a Buckingham and Bletchley constituency would still represent a more natural pairing of communities than alternative county-crossing pairings, which would rely on links with much smaller, less well-connected settlements.
- 40 The Borough of Milton Keynes is currently divided into two constituencies, Milton Keynes North and Milton Keynes South, which, as mentioned above, have electorates significantly above the permitted electorate range. We have therefore proposed substantial reconfiguration for both constituencies, with a boundary between the two constituencies that runs in part along the M1 motorway. We consider that our proposed boundary between the two constituencies in Milton Keynes reflects a change in character between the built-up area of central Milton Keynes, and more suburban areas to the north that have a character distinct from the original new town development. To reflect this reconfiguration, we propose to change the names of both constituencies. We propose to call the northern constituency Newport Pagnell, after the largest distinct settlement in the area, and the southern constituency Milton Keynes, as it would contain the majority of the town of Milton Keynes itself, including an undivided centre. We would particularly welcome representations on this proposed boundary and these proposed names during the public consultation.

Initial proposals for the Oxfordshire sub-region

- Oxfordshire is mathematically entitled to 6.81 constituencies, resulting in an allocation of seven constituencies, an increase of one. None of the six existing constituencies can remain unchanged, as they all have electorates greater than the permitted electorate range. Although the average constituency size for seven constituencies (71,390) is on the lower end of the permissible range, Oxfordshire's relatively small ward sizes still allow for flexible configurations and as such we consider Oxfordshire on its own.
- 42 Although it is impossible to retain any constituencies entirely unchanged, we propose an arrangement that would allow for minimal change to two constituencies: Henley and Oxford East. The Henley constituency would be brought within the permitted electorate range, and entirely within the South Oxfordshire local authority, by transferring the northern Launton & Otmoor ward to the Bicester constituency. We have additionally proposed that the Sandford and the Wittenhams ward be included in the neighbouring Wantage constituency, such that the Henley constituency is configured entirely east of the Thames. We propose more significant reconfiguration in the Wantage constituency, which would become more compact while maintaining its two major settlements. We additionally propose that the constituency be called Didcot and Wantage, to reflect both major population centres on either side of the local authority boundary it crosses.
- The other constituency we have proposed with only minor changes is Oxford East, which would fall within the permitted electorate range by transferring two wards west of the River Cherwell (Carfax & Jericho, and Holywell) to the Oxford West and Abingdon constituency. This configuration would have the additional benefit of allowing Oxford city centre to be configured together in Oxford West and Abingdon, which can also be made more compact by transferring two northern wards to the Bicester constituency. Although this configuration would leave some nearby communities that are part of the current Oxford West and Abingdon constituency, such as Yarnton, outside of an Oxford constituency, we note that these settlements are in a separate local authority (Cherwell), and could therefore sensibly be paired with other areas.

- In the north of Oxfordshire, electorate growth in the towns of Banbury and Bicester, which are currently both included in the existing Banbury constituency, is such that it is not possible to keep the two towns in the same constituency. Therefore, we propose a Banbury constituency that is now oriented southwestward, crossing the local authority boundary into West Oxfordshire, and would include the town of Chipping Norton. We also propose that a Bicester constituency would contain the eastern half of Cherwell district (including the village of Kidlington), as well as extending west to include the town of Woodstock in West Oxfordshire.
- We propose that the Witney constituency comprises the remaining wards of West Oxfordshire district, including the towns of Burford and Carterton, and extends south across the River Thames into the Vale of White Horse district. This configuration would include the town of Faringdon and the village of Kingston Bagpuize which are both well connected to the proposed constituency via the A4095 (Radcot Road) and A415 (Abingdon Road) respectively.

Initial proposals for the Berkshire, Hampshire, and Surrey sub-region

- There are currently 37 constituencies in this sub-region, 20 of which have electorates that are within the permitted electorate range. The sub-region as a whole is mathematically entitled to 38.81 constituencies, and so we propose an allocation of 39 constituencies, an increase of two. As mentioned above, an allocation of nine constituencies to Berkshire (with a mathematical entitlement to 8.65 constituencies) would result in an average constituency size of 70,571 electors. Our options were limited due to local authority and regional boundaries that restrict the ability to consider different constituency patterns within Berkshire and we therefore decided to propose a constituency crossing into Surrey. We consider that the strength of transport links and community ties would favour crossing from Berkshire into Surrey rather than Hampshire.
- We consider that an arrangement where Hampshire is treated alone would be undesirable, due to the disruption of existing constituencies. There are 13 existing constituencies in Hampshire that are within the permitted electorate range, however an allocation of 18 constituencies (with a mathematical entitlement to 18.44 constituencies) would result in an average constituency size of 75,173, necessitating change to the majority of those constituencies. Although there are configurations which can achieve that average size within Hampshire, we consider that an additional constituency which crosses the boundary between Hampshire and Surrey would allow for a greater preservation of the existing constituencies.
- We therefore consider that a sub-region of the three counties of Berkshire, Hampshire, and Surrey, including two county-crossing constituencies, would allow for a pattern of constituencies that would maintain more existing constituency boundaries and achieve minimal disruption elsewhere.

Berkshire

- There are currently eight constituencies in Berkshire. Of the existing constituencies, three (Reading East, Reading West, and Windsor) are within the permitted electorate range. All of the remaining five constituencies are above the 5% limit.
- As mentioned above, we consider that in order to allocate a whole number of constituencies, a ninth constituency should be proposed, which is largely contained within Berkshire, but crosses the boundary into Surrey. Therefore we have proposed that the Windsor constituency include the Egham Town and Egham Hythe wards from the Borough of Runnymede in Surrey. As the electorate of the existing Slough constituency is above the permitted range, we consider that the Windsor constituency would be best suited to gain wards from Slough as it is the only adjacent constituency within Berkshire. We have therefore proposed that the Windsor constituency additionally include the wards of Colnbrook with Poyle, Foxborough, and Langley Kedermister from the Borough of Slough. Our proposed Slough constituency would retain the ward of Langley St. Mary's. Although this

- configuration leaves the village of Langley divided between two constituencies, we noted that it results in less disruption than alternative arrangements which would require the transfer of more central wards in Slough or Windsor.
- In West Berkshire, we propose dividing the Downlands ward. We propose that the civil parish of Beedon is included in the Mid Berkshire constituency, in order to bring the constituency's electorate within the permitted range, and that the rest of the ward is included in the Newbury constituency. The division of this ward would have the additional benefit of allowing for a more compact Newbury constituency, which still includes the town of Thatcham, while also eliminating the need for the Mid Berkshire constituency to stretch the length of the West Berkshire local authority.
- Although both current Reading constituencies can remain unchanged, we propose both are reconfigured to account for the increased electorates of surrounding constituencies, which also better reflects local ties in the surrounding communities. This includes a Wokingham constituency that is contained entirely within the Borough of Wokingham, and an Earley and Woodley constituency that consists of a number of suburban wards to the south and east of the town of Reading, including the Whitley and Church wards of the Borough of Reading. With three other wards, centred around Tilehurst, included in the Mid Berkshire constituency, this leaves the remainder of the Borough of Reading to be configured in a constituency that we propose be simply named Reading, as changes elsewhere would mean that there would no longer be a constituency that could appropriately be called Reading West.
- We propose a Maidenhead constituency that includes the western part of the Windsor and Maidenhead local authority, centred around the town of Maidenhead, as well as the three outlying rural wards of the Borough of Bracknell Forest. This in turn allows for a more compact Bracknell constituency, which includes the entirety of the built-up area of the town of Bracknell while maintaining the existing link with the town of Sandhurst via the A3095 (Foresters Way).

Hampshire

There are currently 18 constituencies in Hampshire. Of the existing constituencies, 13 are within the permitted electorate range. Of the remaining five constituencies, one is below the 5% limit (Romsey and Southampton North) and four are above the 5% limit (Basingstoke, Eastleigh, Fareham, and North West Hampshire). As mentioned above, we consider that allocating 18 constituencies to Hampshire would result in significant disruption to the existing pattern of constituencies, including the need to change most of the 13 that are within the permitted electorate range. We have therefore proposed a constituency that crosses the boundary with Surrey, so as to better preserve existing constituencies within Hampshire.

- Doing this allows eight constituencies to remain wholly unchanged, namely:
 East New Forest, Gosport, Havant, Portsmouth North, Portsmouth South,
 Southampton Itchen, Southampton Test, and West New Forest. We additionally
 propose an Aldershot constituency which is changed only to realign constituency
 boundaries with changes to local government ward boundaries. Although we
 noted that this proposed constituency divides the town of Yateley, a combination
 of changing local government boundaries and large electorates in this corner
 of Hampshire presents difficulties; we considered that other configurations of
 constituencies we looked at in this and the surrounding area would not better
 reflect the statutory factors.
- We propose minimal changes for Basingstoke and North East Hampshire, two of the constituencies that are currently within the permitted electorate range. We consider that a division of the Oakley & The Candovers ward between these two constituencies, in the Borough of Basingstoke and Deane, would allow both constituencies to better reflect local ties. This division would include the village of Oakley and its surrounds in the Basingstoke constituency and include the civil parishes of the Candovers, Dummer, and Popham in the North East Hampshire constituency, largely aligning to a physical boundary of the M3 motorway. The division of this ward additionally prevents a 'domino' effect (disrupting multiple local authority boundaries and an unchanged constituency), which would otherwise be required to achieve the statutory requirements on electorates.
- 57 Although the existing East Hampshire constituency falls within the permitted electorate range, we propose a reconfiguration that allows for a constituency that crosses the boundary into Surrey. We consider that this Farnham and Bordon constituency would feature strong links between the eastern wards of East Hampshire district and the Borough of Waverley. We therefore propose an East Hampshire constituency that includes all wards in East Hampshire district other than those included in the proposed Farnham and Bordon constituency.
- We propose that the Winchester constituency, which also currently falls within the permitted electorate range, be changed so that it would fall entirely within the City of Winchester district. We propose that the constituency extend southwards to include the town of Bishop's Waltham, and that the Chandler's Ford and Hiltingbury wards of Eastleigh district be transferred to the Eastleigh constituency.

- In order to bring the Romsey and Southampton North constituency within the permitted electorate range, we propose including additional wards further north in the Borough of Test Valley around the town of Andover. This has the additional benefit of also bringing the North West Hampshire constituency within the permitted electorate range; this constituency would maintain Andover as its major settlement and extend slightly further east to include all of the town of Tadley to the north of Basingstoke.
- In order to reduce the number of electors in the two constituencies of Eastleigh and Fareham, we propose a more substantial reconfiguration in this area of Hampshire. We propose a constituency that would pair the towns of Fareham and Portchester with the town of Waterlooville, including rural elements of the lower Meon Valley that sit between those two settlements. This constituency would be called Fareham and Waterlooville. The remainder of the Borough of Fareham, including Titchfield, would be included in a constituency situated around the River Hamble, extending north-west to include the town of Hedge End from Eastleigh district and the community of Whiteley from the Winchester local authority. We propose that this constituency would be called Hedge End; we particularly welcome representations on whether an alternative name would be more appropriate.

- We recognise that the configuration of these two constituencies divides the Fareham local authority and that our proposals include an orphan ward. However, we consider that other configurations of constituencies in this and the surrounding area would not better reflect the statutory factors, particularly with regard to the unchanged constituencies that make up the rest of the south Hampshire conurbation.
- We propose that the Eastleigh constituency consists of the remainder of the Eastleigh local authority, including the town of Eastleigh itself, as well as the Valley Park ward from the Test Valley local authority. Although this is another orphan ward, we noted that the town of Valley Park is situated within the greater built-up area around Eastleigh and Chandler's Ford.

Surrey

- There are currently 11 constituencies in Surrey. Of the existing constituencies, five have electorates within the permitted range; however, only three of these could remain wholly unchanged, due to changes to local government ward boundaries. All of the remaining six constituencies are above the 5% limit.
- As mentioned above, we propose a Windsor constituency that crosses the boundary with Berkshire, around the town of Egham, as well as a constituency that crosses the boundary with Hampshire, in the south-west of Surrey. We propose that this constituency, which includes a number of towns along the county council border, be called Farnham and Bordon, to reflect the two main population centres. We consider that the transport links between Haslemere and Liphook, along the rail line and A3 (Portsmouth Road), as well as north/south road links between Farnham and Bordon, along the A325 and A287, indicate a community of interest despite the county council boundary.
- Of the three constituencies which can be retained wholly unchanged, we propose no alterations only to the Spelthorne constituency, which is coterminous with the Borough of Spelthorne. We propose that two wards (Normandy and Pirbright) from the existing Woking constituency be transferred to Surrey Heath, such that the Woking constituency would become coterminous with the Woking local authority. With electorates having increased to the east of the existing Mole Valley constituency, we propose that it would include the three wards for the town of Horley, as well as the South Park & Woodhatch ward, from the Borough of Reigate and Banstead. We therefore propose that the constituency be called Dorking and Horley to reflect both major population centres as well as the constituency including parts of two local authorities.

⁹ 'Orphan ward' refers to a ward from one local authority, in a constituency where the remaining wards are from at least one other local authority.

- We propose minimal changes to the two constituencies shared between the Runnymede and Elmbridge boroughs. As the water-meadow of Runnymede lies within the Egham wards, which (as previously mentioned) we propose to include in the Windsor constituency, the name 'Runnymede and Weybridge' is clearly no longer appropriate; therefore, we propose a Weybridge and Chertsey constituency with broadly similar boundaries. We propose that the Cobham & Downside ward be transferred from the existing Esher and Walton constituency to our proposed Weybridge and Chertsey constituency. We propose no further changes to either constituency other than minor changes to the boundary between these constituencies to align with changes to local government boundaries.
- We consider that the Reigate constituency would not be able to remain unchanged despite falling within the permitted electorate range, due to the need to align with changes to local government boundaries and the adjacent East Surrey constituency. This latter constituency is largely contained within Tandridge district, which is mathematically entitled to 0.89 constituencies, so needs to include wards from elsewhere, but is penned in by boundaries with two other counties in the South East region, as well as with London. We therefore propose that the East Surrey constituency include the Hooley, Merstham & Netherne ward from the Reigate and Banstead local authority. We subsequently propose a Reigate constituency comprising the northern part of the Reigate and Banstead local authority, including two wards (Nork, and Tattenham Corner & Preston) that were previously included in the Epsom and Ewell constituency.
- We propose that the Epsom and Ewell constituency, which previously included wards from three different local authorities, would consist of the entirety of the Borough of Epsom and Ewell with only one authority crossing, into Mole Valley district, to include the towns of Leatherhead and Ashtead.
- In order to bring the Surrey Heath constituency to within the permitted range, we propose that it include, in addition to the entirety of Surrey Heath district, the wards of Normandy and Pirbright, but that the three wards consisting of the villages of Ash and Ash Vale are transferred to the Godalming and Ash constituency.
- We propose that the Guildford constituency be reconfigured, such that it is entirely within the Borough of Guildford, including a number of rural wards to the northeast of the town. The village of Cranleigh, which was previously in the Guildford constituency, would be included with its closer neighbour Godalming from the same Borough of Waverley, along with Ash in a Godalming and Ash constituency which crosses the local authority boundary between the Borough of Guildford and the Borough of Waverley. These two settlements are the two major population centres in an otherwise largely rural constituency.

Our proposals in the Berkshire, Hampshire, and Surrey sub-region mean that 13 constituencies (one third of the total allocation of constituencies in the sub-region) are either unchanged, changed only to realign constituency boundaries with changes to local government ward boundaries, or are changed by the transfer of just one ward.

Initial proposals for the Sussex sub-region

There are currently 16 constituencies in this sub-region, five of which have electorates that are within the permitted electorate range. The sub-region as a whole is mathematically entitled to 17.21 constituencies; we therefore propose an allocation of 17 constituencies, an increase of one.

East Sussex, including Brighton and Hove

- 73 There are currently eight constituencies in the area covered by East Sussex and the City of Brighton and Hove. Of the existing constituencies, three have electorates within the permitted electorate range. However, only two of these could remain wholly unchanged, due to changes to local government ward boundaries. Of the remaining five constituencies, one is below the 5% limit (Brighton Kemptown) and four are above the 5% limit (Bexhill and Battle, Eastbourne, Hastings and Rye, and Wealden).
- 74 Of the three constituencies that fall within the permitted electorate range, we propose no alterations to Hove; however, we propose that this constituency be called Hove and Brighton West to better recognise its distinct local communities. In order to increase the electorate for the Brighton Kemptown constituency, we propose exchanging a ward with the adjacent constituency of Brighton Pavilion, which would otherwise be unchanged. Specifically, this configuration would transfer the Queen's Park ward to Brighton Pavilion, and the Hanover and Elm Grove ward to Brighton Kemptown, thus achieving minimal change for both Brighton constituencies, and preserving the existing eastern boundary of Brighton Kemptown that extends into Lewes district. We propose more substantial change to Lewes, in part due to local government ward boundary changes in Wealden district that alter the eastern boundaries of the existing Lewes constituency. We propose that five wards to the north of the town of Lewes be included in the new constituency crossing the boundary with West Sussex to the north (see below), using the River Ouse and the South Downs as geographic boundaries of the Lewes constituency.
- We propose minimal change for the existing Eastbourne constituency, removing the two Willingdon wards, such that the constituency would become coterminous with the Borough of Eastbourne. In order to reduce the number of electors in the Hastings and Rye constituency, we propose the transfer of the Brede & Udimore, and Sedlescombe & Westfield wards to the Bexhill and Battle constituency. This configuration would maintain the four major eponymous settlements of both constituencies, as well as the major A259 road link between Hastings and Rye.

The Bexhill and Battle constituency would, therefore, shift slightly eastwards and fall within the permitted electorate range by no longer including the wards around the town of Heathfield.

- We propose a Hailsham and Crowborough constituency that largely comprises Wealden district, with links between the two towns maintained via Heathfield. We considered whether the name 'Wealden' remains appropriate, given that this name was not reflective of the fact that our proposals would see four different constituencies containing parts of Wealden district. We therefore propose the name Hailsham and Crowborough to better reflect the major settlements in the constituency; however, we welcome representations on whether an alternative name would be more appropriate.
- We consider that a constituency crossing between East Sussex and West Sussex would allow for a better pattern of constituencies across the sub-region as a whole. We therefore propose an East Grinstead and Uckfield constituency, which would combine the town of East Grinstead in Mid Sussex district with the town of Uckfield in Wealden district and areas around the village of Newick in Lewes district; principal transport links for this constituency would be the A22 and A272 (Goldbridge Road). We did consider other combinations of wards to be included in this constituency, but concluded that our proposed constituency would cause less overall disruption to existing constituencies. We additionally considered configurations that would feature multiple constituencies crossing between East and West Sussex, but similarly concluded that this would result in unnecessary disruption to both counties.

West Sussex

- There are currently nine constituencies in West Sussex. Of the existing constituencies, two have electorates within the permitted electorate range we propose that the Crawley constituency, which is coterminous with the Crawley local authority, is retained wholly unchanged. However, we propose more significant reconfiguration of the existing East Worthing and Shoreham constituency. All of the remaining seven constituencies are above the 5% limit.
- The size of the electorate in the Mid Sussex local authority is such that the towns of Burgess Hill, East Grinstead and Haywards Heath are too large for all three to be included in the same constituency, as they currently are. Of these, we noted that East Grinstead is well connected to East Sussex via the A22 (Lewes Road), and therefore is best suited to a cross-county constituency. We therefore propose that the town of East Grinstead and four surrounding rural wards are included in the East Grinstead and Uckfield constituency, as mentioned above. We further noted that the towns of Haywards Heath and Burgess Hill have close community ties and strong transport connections. As such, we propose a Mid Sussex constituency that includes both these towns and extends to the southern limit of Mid Sussex district.

- As a consequence of our proposed Crawley, East Grinstead and Uckfield, and Mid Sussex constituencies, we consider that the five western local authorities of West Sussex (Adur, Arun, Chichester, Horsham, and Worthing) could be allocated six constituencies. We consider that a configuration attempting to preserve the 'coastal strip' of constituencies between Bognor Regis and Shoreham is possible; however, we noted that this would result in a greater number of divided communities than our proposals.
- We therefore propose a Shoreham constituency that extends north away from the coast along the A283 (Steyning Road) into Horsham district. We propose a Horsham constituency centred around the town of Horsham and that is entirely contained within its local authority.
- As the Borough of Worthing is mathematically entitled to 1.14 constituencies, some division of the local authority would be unavoidable. We consider that the transfer of the two northernmost wards (Offington and Salvington) of the local authority would present the least disruption, as both wards contain some rural element, and have communities that are well connected to the north and west, suiting them to be included in the Arundel and Littlehampton constituency. This in turn influenced the proposed Worthing constituency, which would include two wards from Adur district (Cokeham and Peverel) we note that this divides the adjacent civil parishes of Sompting and Lancing.
- We propose an Arundel and Littlehampton constituency, which extends north from the coast into the South Downs National Park, with transport links along the A284 and A27 (Arundel Road). This constituency contains wards from three local authorities, including an orphan ward (Fittleworth) from Chichester district. Although we recognise that this proposed constituency covers a large geographic area, we noted that the existing Arundel and South Downs constituency includes wards from four local authorities.
- We propose a Bognor Regis constituency centred around the town of Bognor Regis, which would include three wards from Chichester district, including the town of Selsey. We propose a Chichester constituency entirely contained within Chichester district, including a north-easterly extension which would preserve a link between the towns of Midhurst and Petworth along the A272 in the South Downs National Park.

Initial proposals for the Kent sub-region

- Kent, including the Medway unitary authority, is mathematically entitled to 18.05 constituencies: its allocation of 18 constituencies is an increase of one. Ten constituencies in the sub-region have electorates within the permitted electorate range. All of the remaining seven constituencies are above the 5% limit.
- 86 In developing our proposals, we propose that two constituencies (Gillingham and Rainham, and Gravesham) are preserved wholly unchanged and a further two constituencies (Canterbury, and Dover and Deal) are changed only to realign with changes to local government wards. Additionally, we propose only minimal change to Tunbridge Wells, configuring it to become more compact by no longer including the Hawkhurst and Sandhurst ward.
- 87 We consider that it would not be possible to retain the Sevenoaks, and Faversham and Mid Kent constituencies unchanged, due to their adjacency with existing constituencies now above the permitted electorate range. We propose that the Sevenoaks constituency include the Wilmington, Sutton-at-Hone & Hawley, and Darenth wards from Dartford district, creating a local authority crossing around the River Darent, which would also bring together the South Darenth community that crosses the current boundary. The Dartford constituency would therefore be located entirely within Dartford district, as it no longer needs to include the Hartley and Hodsoll Street ward. We propose that the Faversham and Mid Kent constituency includes two additional wards from the Borough of Swale, so as to bring the Sittingbourne and Sheppey constituency within the permitted electorate range without disrupting the main population centre of Sittingbourne town. It would additionally include rural wards from both the Ashford and Maidstone districts, largely using the M20 motorway as a boundary to the south except around the built-up Maidstone area.

While both the existing North Thanet and South Thanet constituencies could be retained with only minor changes to realign constituency boundaries with changes to local government ward boundaries, we propose a more substantial reconfiguration that would avoid disruption to the adjacent Canterbury constituency. We therefore propose an alteration to the existing pattern of constituencies around Thanet, resulting in East Thanet, a compact constituency, wholly contained within the Thanet district, consisting of a built-up area of seaside towns including Ramsgate, Margate and Broadstairs. We propose a West Thanet constituency, which contains coastal communities including Herne Bay and Sandwich as well as more inland rural areas.



- With a large increase in electorate size around Ashford district, the constituencies in this area (all of which are over the permitted electorate range) need to be reconfigured to meet the statutory requirements. We propose that the Folkestone and Hythe constituency becomes more compact, being entirely contained within Folkestone and Hythe district and oriented along the coast, with the North Downs East and North Downs West wards being included in the Ashford constituency. The proposed Ashford constituency would be centred around the town of Ashford itself, with its only rural elements extending along the M20 motorway to the east towards the North Downs wards. The remainder of the Ashford district south of the M20 motorway, including the town of Tenterden, would be included in the Weald of Kent constituency, which would additionally extend to include the western wards of Tunbridge Wells district and the southern rural wards of Maidstone district.
- 90 We have been able to propose minimal reconfiguration for the Rochester and Strood constituency within the Medway unitary authority, with the transfer of only one ward (Rochester South and Horsted) to the adjacent Chatham and Aylesford constituency. Although this ward does contain a small number of electors from the town of Rochester, we consider it preferable to bring Rochester and Strood within the permitted electorate range than either the Rochester East ward (which contains a greater part of the Rochester community) or the River ward (which would divide the area around Rochester railway station).

Ohatham and Aylesford would continue to cross between the Medway unitary authority and Kent, maintaining its boundaries around the town of Snodland. We propose greater reconfiguration in the centre of Kent, with the Aylesford South and Ditton wards now included in the Maidstone and Malling constituency, in order for it to achieve a more compact configuration with better links between the towns of East Malling, West Malling, and Maidstone, along the A20 (London Road) and Maidstone railway line. This configuration leaves the Tonbridge constituency, centred around the eponymous town, to include the remainder of the Tonbridge and Malling district, along with the town of Edenbridge and the civil parish of Ash-cum-Ridley, both from the Sevenoaks district.

Initial proposals for the Isle of Wight sub-region

- As discussed earlier, the Isle of Wight is specifically allocated two whole constituencies. While the legislation does not state that the two constituencies should have similar sized electorates, we considered that it would be in accordance with the spirit of the legislation to divide the island broadly equally in terms of electorate, without neglecting community ties. We will, however, consider deviating from this principle if representations suggest there is particular strength of feeling that we should do so.
- 93 We considered a number of configurations for dividing the island between constituencies. We propose dividing the island into East Isle of Wight and West Isle of Wight constituencies. Although the western constituency is larger in terms of geographical size, we consider that there is an element of rural and coastal communities in both constituencies.

4 How to have your say

- 94 We are consulting on our initial proposals for an eight-week period, from 8 June 2021 to 2 August 2021. We encourage everyone to give us their views on our proposals for their area the more public responses we receive and the more local information that is provided, the more informed our decisions will be when analysing all the responses we have received.
- On our interactive consultation website, at www.bcereviews.org.uk, you can see what constituency you will be in under our proposals, and compare it with your existing constituency and local government boundaries. You can also easily submit your views on our proposals through that consultation website.
- When making comments on our initial proposals, we ask people to bear in mind the tight constraints placed on the Commission by the rules set by Parliament, discussed in chapter 2 and in our Guide to the 2023 Review. Most importantly, in the South East region:
 - we cannot recommend constituencies that have electorates that contain more than 77,062 or fewer than 69,724 electors (apart from the two covering the Isle of Wight)
 - we are basing our initial proposals on local government ward boundaries (existing or – where relevant – prospective) as at 1 December 2020 as the building blocks of constituencies – although where there is strong justification for doing so, we will consider dividing a ward between constituencies (see the Guide to the 2023 Review for more detailed information)
 - we have constructed constituencies within regions, so as not to cross regional boundaries – very compelling reasons would need to be given to persuade us that we should depart from this approach.

97 These issues mean that we encourage people who are making a comment about their local area to bear in mind any consequential effects for neighbouring areas that might result from their suggestions. The Commission must look at the recommendations for new constituencies across the whole region (and, indeed, across England). What may be a better solution for one location may have undesirable consequences for others. We therefore ask everyone wishing to respond to our consultation to bear in mind the impact of their counter-proposals on neighbouring constituencies, and on those further afield across the region.

How can you give us your views?

- Views on our initial proposals should be given to the Commission initially in writing. We encourage everyone who wishes to comment on our proposals in writing to do so through our interactive consultation website¹⁰ at www.bcereviews.org.uk you will find all the details you need and be able to comment directly through the website. The website allows you to explore the map of our proposals and get further data, including the electorate sizes of every ward. You can also upload text or data files you may have previously prepared setting out your views.
- We encourage everyone, before submitting a representation, to read our approach to protecting and using your personal details (available at www.bcereviews.org.uk). As these consultations are very much concerned with a respondent's sense of place and community, when publishing responses (which the law requires us to do), we will associate the response with the general locality of the respondent's address, but we will not publish a respondent's name or detailed address with their response, unless they specifically ask us to do so.
- 100 It is important to stress that all representations, whether they have been made through our website or sent to us in writing, will be given equal consideration by the Commission.
- As noted above, there will be an opportunity to make an oral response to our initial proposals and comment on the responses of others during the secondary consultation stage. We will therefore publish further details about these public hearings, and how you can make a contribution to one, closer to the dates of the secondary consultation period.

¹⁰ Our website has been designed to maximise accessibility for all users, in line with the Public Sector Bodies (Websites and Mobile Applications) (No.2) Accessibility Regulations 2018.

What do we want views on?

- 102 We would particularly like to ask two things of people responding to our consultation. Firstly, if you support our proposals, please tell us so. Past experience suggests that too often people who are happy with our proposals do not respond in support, while those who object to them do respond to make their points. That can give a distorted view of the balance of public support or objection to proposals, and those who, in fact, support our initial proposals may then be disappointed if those proposals are subsequently revised in light of the consultation responses. Secondly, if you are considering objecting to our proposals, do please use the resources (such as maps and electorate figures) available on our website and at the places of deposit¹¹ to put forward counter-proposals that are in accordance with the rules to which we are working.
- 103 Above all, however, we encourage everyone to have their say on our initial proposals and, in doing so, to become involved in drawing the map of new Parliamentary constituencies. The more views and information we receive as a result of our initial proposals and through the subsequent consultation phases, the more informed our consideration in developing those proposals will be, and the better we will be able to reflect the public's views in the final recommendations that we present in 2023.

¹¹ The legislation requires our proposals to be made available in at least one 'place of deposit' open to the public in each proposed constituency. A list of these places of deposit is published on our website.

Appendix: Initial proposals for constituencies, including wards and electorates

Constituency	Ward	Local authority	Electorate
Aldershot BC			76,765
	Blackwater and Hawley	Hart	5,730
	Yateley East	Hart	6,726
	Aldershot Park	Rushmoor	5,044
	Cherrywood	Rushmoor	5,084
	Cove and Southwood	Rushmoor	5,309
	Empress	Rushmoor	4,359
	Fernhill	Rushmoor	5,258
	Knellwood	Rushmoor	5,571
	Manor Park	Rushmoor	5,516
	North Town	Rushmoor	4,808
	Rowhill	Rushmoor	4,998
	St. John's	Rushmoor	5,039
	St. Mark's	Rushmoor	5,077
	Wellington	Rushmoor	3,365
	West Heath	Rushmoor	4,881
Arundel and I	₋ittlehampton CC		75,896
	Angmering & Findon	Arun	8,038
	Arundel & Walberton	Arun	6,712
	Beach	Arun	3,840
	Brookfield	Arun	4,656
	Courtwick with Toddington	Arun	7,128
	East Preston	Arun	7,028
	Ferring	Arun	4,172
	River	Arun	6,598
	Rustington East	Arun	4,486
	Rustington West	Arun	6,795
	Fittleworth	Chichester	2,666
	Offington	Worthing	6,568
	Salvington	Worthing	7,209
Ashford CC			73,546
	Aylesford & East Stour	Ashford	4,356
	Beaver	Ashford	4,435
	Bircholt	Ashford	2,251

Constituenes	Word	Local authority	Flootorate
Constituency		Local authority	Electorate
	Bockhanger	Ashford	2,054
	Bybrook	Ashford	2,047
	Conningbrook & Little Burton Farm	Ashford	1,536
	Furley	Ashford	4,316
	Goat Lees	Ashford	1,841
	Godinton	Ashford	1,922
	Highfield	Ashford	2,033
	Kennington	Ashford	1,815
	Mersham, Sevington South with Finberry	Ashford	1,762
	Norman	Ashford	1,981
	Park Farm North	Ashford	1,819
	Park Farm South	Ashford	1,808
	Repton	Ashford	3,067
	Roman	Ashford	2,141
	Singleton East	Ashford	1,772
	Singleton West	Ashford	2,011
	Stanhope	Ashford	1,799
	Victoria	Ashford	4,157
	Washford	Ashford	2,026
	Willesborough	Ashford	4,490
	Wye with Hinxhill	Ashford	1,900
	North Downs East	Folkestone and Hythe	9,069
	North Downs West	Folkestone and Hythe	5,138
Aylesbury CC			75,636
	Aston Clinton and Bierton	Buckinghamshire	9,517
	Aylesbury East	Buckinghamshire	8,171
	Aylesbury North	Buckinghamshire	8,409
	Aylesbury North West	Buckinghamshire	8,545
	Aylesbury South East	Buckinghamshire	8,337
	Aylesbury South West	Buckinghamshire	7,583
	Aylesbury West	Buckinghamshire	7,742
	Ivinghoe	Buckinghamshire	8,485
	Wing	Buckinghamshire	8,847
Banbury CC			69,943
	Adderbury, Bloxham & Bodicote	Cherwell	7,412
	Banbury Cross & Neithrop	Cherwell	6,576

Constituency	Ward	Local authority	Electorate
	Banbury Grimsbury & Hightown	Cherwell	6,255
	Banbury Hardwick	Cherwell	6,431
	Banbury Ruscote	Cherwell	6,328
	Banbury, Calthorpe & Easington	Cherwell	7,942
	Cropredy, Sibfords & Wroxton	Cherwell	6,722
	Deddington	Cherwell	7,600
	Chadlington and Churchill	West Oxfordshire	1,603
	Charlbury and Finstock	West Oxfordshire	3,054
	Chipping Norton	West Oxfordshire	5,215
	Kingham, Rollright and Enstone	West Oxfordshire	3,242
	The Bartons	West Oxfordshire	1,563
Basingstoke E	BC		77,050
	Brighton Hill	Basingstoke and Deane	7,577
	Brookvale & Kings Furlong	Basingstoke and Deane	6,684
	Chineham	Basingstoke and Deane	7,682
	Eastrop & Grove	Basingstoke and Deane	6,999
	Hatch Warren & Beggarwood	Basingstoke and Deane	7,550
	Kempshott & Buckskin	Basingstoke and Deane	8,205
	Norden	Basingstoke and Deane	6,670
	Part of Oakley & The Candovers (polling districts OC01, OC03, OC04, OC05, OC06, OC07, OC08, OC09, and OC11)	Basingstoke and Deane	5,772
	Popley	Basingstoke and Deane	7,256
	South Ham	Basingstoke and Deane	7,330
	Winklebury & Manydown	Basingstoke and Deane	5,325

Constituency	Ward	Local authority	Electorate
Bexhill and Ba	attle CC		70,869
	Bexhill Central	Rother	4,083
	Bexhill Collington	Rother	3,973
	Bexhill Kewhurst	Rother	4,058
	Bexhill Old Town & Worsham	Rother	3,200
	Bexhill Pebsham & St. Michaels	Rother	3,994
	Bexhill Sackville	Rother	4,082
	Bexhill Sidley	Rother	4,094
	Bexhill St. Marks	Rother	3,777
	Bexhill St. Stephens	Rother	4,089
	Brede & Udimore	Rother	1,818
	Burwash & the Weald	Rother	3,711
	Catsfield & Crowhurst	Rother	1,892
	Hurst Green & Ticehurst	Rother	4,140
	North Battle, Netherfield & Whatlington	Rother	3,737
	Northern Rother	Rother	4,050
	Robertsbridge	Rother	2,103
	Sedlescombe & Westfield	Rother	4,296
	South Battle & Telham	Rother	1,746
	Herstmonceux & Pevensey Levels	Wealden	5,546
	Pevensey Bay	Wealden	2,480
Bicester CC			70,389
	Bicester East	Cherwell	6,039
	Bicester North & Caversfield	Cherwell	6,134
	Bicester South & Ambrosden	Cherwell	7,996
	Bicester West	Cherwell	6,499
	Fringford & Heyfords	Cherwell	6,722
	Kidlington East	Cherwell	7,019
	Kidlington West	Cherwell	6,901
	Launton & Otmoor	Cherwell	6,300
	Eynsham and Cassington	West Oxfordshire	4,797
	Freeland and Hanborough	West Oxfordshire	3,672
	North Leigh	West Oxfordshire	1,609
	Stonesfield and Tackley	West Oxfordshire	3,384
	Woodstock and Bladon	West Oxfordshire	3,317

Constituency	Ward	Local authority	Electorate
Bognor Regis	CC		77,045
o o	Aldwick East	Arun	4,417
	Aldwick West	Arun	5,098
	Barnham	Arun	6,832
	Bersted	Arun	6,653
	Felpham East	Arun	4,724
	Felpham West	Arun	4,756
	Hotham	Arun	3,690
	Marine	Arun	3,985
	Middleton-on-Sea	Arun	4,163
	Orchard	Arun	4,155
	Pagham	Arun	5,109
	Pevensey	Arun	3,827
	Yapton	Arun	4,767
	North Mundham & Tangmere	Chichester	5,217
	Selsey South	Chichester	4,887
	Sidlesham with Selsey North	Chichester	4,765
Bracknell BC			70,247
	Bullbrook	Bracknell Forest	4,549
	Central Sandhurst	Bracknell Forest	3,859
	College Town	Bracknell Forest	3,818
	Crown Wood	Bracknell Forest	5,540
	Crowthorne	Bracknell Forest	4,199
	Great Hollands North	Bracknell Forest	5,169
	Great Hollands South	Bracknell Forest	3,590
	Hanworth	Bracknell Forest	5,765
	Harmans Water	Bracknell Forest	6,232
	Little Sandhurst and Wellington	Bracknell Forest	4,325
	Old Bracknell	Bracknell Forest	4,076
	Owlsmoor	Bracknell Forest	3,854
	Priestwood and Garth	Bracknell Forest	5,628
	Warfield Harvest Ride	Bracknell Forest	5,923
	Wildridings and Central	Bracknell Forest	3,720
Brighton Kem	ptown BC		69,737
	East Brighton	Brighton and Hove	10,044
	Hanover and Elm Grove	Brighton and Hove	11,937
	Moulsecoomb and Bevendean	Brighton and Hove	12,137
	Rottingdean Coastal	Brighton and Hove	10,751

Constituency	Ward	Local authority	Electorate
	Woodingdean	Brighton and Hove	7,466
	East Saltdean & Telscombe Cliffs	Lewes	5,823
	Peacehaven East	Lewes	4,065
	Peacehaven North	Lewes	3,742
	Peacehaven West	Lewes	3,772
Brighton Pavi	lion BC		75,850
	Hollingdean and Stanmer	Brighton and Hove	11,803
	Patcham	Brighton and Hove	11,114
	Preston Park	Brighton and Hove	11,125
	Queen's Park	Brighton and Hove	10,933
	Regency	Brighton and Hove	7,092
	St. Peter's and North Laine	Brighton and Hove	12,720
	Withdean	Brighton and Hove	11,063
Buckingham a	and Bletchley CC		73,644
	Buckingham East	Buckinghamshire	7,254
	Buckingham West	Buckinghamshire	8,440
	Great Brickhill	Buckinghamshire	9,260
	Winslow	Buckinghamshire	7,745
	Bletchley East	Milton Keynes	11,154
	Bletchley Park	Milton Keynes	10,824
	Bletchley West	Milton Keynes	10,614
	Tattenhoe	Milton Keynes	8,353
Canterbury C	С		75,499
	Barton	Canterbury	7,818
	Blean Forest	Canterbury	6,329
	Chartham & Stone Street	Canterbury	5,652
	Chestfield	Canterbury	5,800
	Gorrell	Canterbury	8,496
	Little Stour & Adisham	Canterbury	3,198
	Nailbourne	Canterbury	3,203
	Northgate	Canterbury	4,318
	Seasalter	Canterbury	6,288
	St. Stephens	Canterbury	6,440
	Swalecliffe	Canterbury	3,299
	Tankerton	Canterbury	2,847
	Westgate	Canterbury	5,672
	Wincheap	Canterbury	6,139

Constituency	Ward	Local authority	Electorate
Chatham and	Aylesford CC		74,840
	Chatham Central	Medway	9,929
	Lordswood and Capstone	Medway	6,820
	Luton and Wayfield	Medway	9,502
	Princes Park	Medway	7,502
	Rochester South and Horsted	d Medway	10,338
	Walderslade	Medway	7,333
	Aylesford North and Walderslade	Tonbridge and Malling	5,224
	Burham and Wouldham	Tonbridge and Malling	2,624
	Larkfield North	Tonbridge and Malling	3,600
	Larkfield South	Tonbridge and Malling	3,440
	Snodland East and Ham Hill	Tonbridge and Malling	3,680
	Snodland West and Holborough Lakes	Tonbridge and Malling	4,848
Chesham and	Amersham CC		76,158
	Amersham and Chesham Bois	Buckinghamshire	7,869
	Beaconsfield	Buckinghamshire	8,212
	Chalfont St. Giles	Buckinghamshire	8,563
	Chalfont St. Peter	Buckinghamshire	8,514
	Chesham	Buckinghamshire	7,479
	Chess Valley	Buckinghamshire	7,825
	Part of Chiltern Ridges (polling districts CD and CDA	Buckinghamshire	3,781
	Hazlemere	Buckinghamshire	7,520
	Little Chalfont and Amersham Common	Buckinghamshire	7,842
	Penn Wood and Old Amersham	Buckinghamshire	8,553
Chichester Co	0		76,180
	Chichester Central	Chichester	2,319
	Chichester East	Chichester	4,675
	Chichester North	Chichester	4,424
	Chichester South	Chichester	5,168
	Chichester West	Chichester	4,948
	Easebourne	Chichester	2,408

40

0 111			
Constituency	ward	Local authority	Electorate
	Fernhurst	Chichester	5,137
	Goodwood	Chichester	2,530
	Harbour Villages	Chichester	8,293
	Harting	Chichester	2,918
	Lavant	Chichester	2,642
	Loxwood	Chichester	5,695
	Midhurst	Chichester	5,681
	Petworth	Chichester	2,773
	Southbourne	Chichester	5,500
	The Witterings	Chichester	8,316
	Westbourne	Chichester	2,753
Crawley BC			74,446
J	Bewbush & North Broadfield	Crawley	6,486
	Broadfield	Crawley	6,553
	Furnace Green	Crawley	4,333
	Gossops Green & North East Broadfield	Crawley	4,524
	Ifield	Crawley	6,831
	Langley Green & Tushmore	Crawley	5,332
	Maidenbower	Crawley	6,484
	Northgate & West Green	Crawley	6,573
	Pound Hill North & Forge Wood	Crawley	5,970
	Pound Hill South & Worth	Crawley	6,069
	Southgate	Crawley	5,817
	Three Bridges	Crawley	5,246
	Tilgate	Crawley	4,228
Dartford CC			70,038
	Bean & Village Park	Dartford	1,955
	Brent	Dartford	4,324
	Bridge	Dartford	2,285
	Burnham	Dartford	2,023
	Ebbsfleet	Dartford	2,210
	Greenhithe & Knockhall	Dartford	4,991
	Heath	Dartford	4,481
	Joyden's Wood	Dartford	4,191
	Longfield, New Barn & Southfleet	Dartford	5,648
	Maypole & Leyton Cross	Dartford	2,207
	Newtown	Dartford	3,817

S	rinces tone Castle	Dartford	Electorate 3,986
S	tone Castle		3,986
_			,
St	. 11	Dartford	4,987
	tone House	Dartford	4,580
S	wanscombe	Dartford	4,493
Te	emple Hill	Dartford	5,739
To	own	Dartford	2,483
W	/est Hill	Dartford	5,638
Didcot and Wan	tage CC		71,460
С	holsey	South Oxfordshire	6,887
D	idcot North East	South Oxfordshire	6,905
D	idcot South	South Oxfordshire	8,467
D	idcot West	South Oxfordshire	5,474
S	andford & the Wittenhams	South Oxfordshire	2,955
W	/allingford	South Oxfordshire	6,151
В	lewbury & Harwell	Vale of White Horse	6,276
D	rayton	Vale of White Horse	2,767
G	rove North	Vale of White Horse	4,188
H	endreds	Vale of White Horse	2,348
R	idgeway	Vale of White Horse	2,487
S	teventon & the Hanneys	Vale of White Horse	3,108
Si	utton Courtenay	Vale of White Horse	2,446
W	/antage & Grove Brook	Vale of White Horse	5,787
W	antage Charlton	Vale of White Horse	5,214
Dorking and Ho	rley CC		75,001
	eare Green	Mole Valley	1,547
В	ookham North	Mole Valley	4,699
В	ookham South	Mole Valley	4,493
В	ox Hill and Headley	Mole Valley	1,569
	rockham, Betchworth and uckland	Mole Valley	3,659
C	apel, Leigh and Newdigate	Mole Valley	3,431
_	harlwood	Mole Valley	1,855
D	orking North	Mole Valley	3,386
_	orking South	Mole Valley	5,630
_	etcham East	Mole Valley	3,087
Fe	etcham West	Mole Valley	3,263
H	olmwoods	Mole Valley	4,705
Le	eith Hill	Mole Valley	1,341
	lickleham, Westhumble nd Pixham	Mole Valley	1,520

Constituency	Ward	Local authority	Electorate
	Okewood	Mole Valley	1,514
	Westcott	Mole Valley	1,822
	Horley Central & South	Reigate and Banstead	7,091
	Horley East & Salfords	Reigate and Banstead	7,038
	Horley West & Sidlow	Reigate and Banstead	7,017
	South Park & Woodhatch	Reigate and Banstead	6,334
Dover and De	al CC		75,855
	Alkham & Capel-le-Ferne	Dover	2,687
	Aylesham, Eythorne & Shepherdswell	Dover	8,217
	Buckland	Dover	5,317
	Dover Downs & River	Dover	5,333
	Eastry Rural	Dover	4,956
	Guston, Kingsdown & St. Margaret's-at-Cliffe	Dover	5,859
	Maxton & Elms Vale	Dover	2,939
	Middle Deal	Dover	5,625
	Mill Hill	Dover	6,037
	North Deal	Dover	5,828
	St. Radigunds	Dover	4,300
	Tower Hamlets	Dover	2,708
	Town & Castle	Dover	5,417
	Walmer	Dover	5,827
	Whitfield	Dover	4,805
Earley and Wo	oodley BC		70,083
	Church	Reading	6,458
	Whitley	Reading	8,602
	Bulmershe and Whitegates	Wokingham	6,963
	Coronation	Wokingham	4,263
	Hawkedon	Wokingham	6,609
	Hillside	Wokingham	6,253
	Loddon	Wokingham	7,259
	Maiden Erlegh	Wokingham	7,079
	Shinfield North	Wokingham	2,259
	Shinfield South	Wokingham	7,458
	Sonning	Wokingham	2,547
	South Lake	Wokingham	4,333

Constituency \	Ward	Local authority	Electorate
East Grinstead	and Uckfield CC		76,303
C	Chailey, Barcombe & Hamsey	Lewes	4,084
_	Ditchling & Westmeston	Lewes	2,063
_	Newick	Lewes	2,054
	Plumpton, Streat, East Chiltington & St. John	Lewes	1,824
V	Vivelsfield	Lewes	2,149
Ā	Ardingly and Balcombe	Mid Sussex	4,749
A	Ashurst Wood	Mid Sussex	2,097
C	Copthorne and Worth	Mid Sussex	3,902
	Crawley Down and Turners Hill	Mid Sussex	5,793
E	East Grinstead Ashplats	Mid Sussex	4,343
E	East Grinstead Baldwins	Mid Sussex	3,859
E	East Grinstead Herontye	Mid Sussex	3,793
E	East Grinstead Imberhorne	Mid Sussex	3,690
E	East Grinstead Town	Mid Sussex	4,081
F	High Weald	Mid Sussex	3,938
	Danehill & Fletching	Wealden	3,233
F	Forest Row	Wealden	2,791
F	Hartfield	Wealden	2,876
V	Maresfield	Wealden	3,012
Ĺ	Jckfield East	Wealden	2,692
<u>_</u>	Jckfield New Town	Wealden	4,946
Ĺ	Jckfield North	Wealden	2,424
	Jckfield Ridgewood & Little Horsted	Wealden	1,910
East Hampshire	e CC		72,907
A	Alton Amery	East Hampshire	1,915
Ā	Alton Ashdell	East Hampshire	1,875
A	Alton Eastbrooke	East Hampshire	1,948
A	Alton Holybourne	East Hampshire	2,224
A	Alton Westbrooke	East Hampshire	1,993
A	Alton Whitedown	East Hampshire	2,183
A	Alton Wooteys	East Hampshire	2,328
E	Bentworth & Froyle	East Hampshire	2,301
E	Binsted, Bentley & Selborne	East Hampshire	4,787
E	Buriton & East Meon	East Hampshire	2,142
C	Clanfield	East Hampshire	4,602
F	Four Marks & Medstead	East Hampshire	6,399
F	Froxfield, Sheet & Steep	East Hampshire	2,342

0 -111	W	1 1 1	=1
Constituency	Ward	Local authority	Electorate
	Horndean Catherington	East Hampshire	2,082
	Horndean Downs	East Hampshire	2,515
	Horndean Kings & Blendworth	East Hampshire	3,966
	Horndean Murray	East Hampshire	2,162
	Liss	East Hampshire	4,845
	Petersfield Bell Hill	East Hampshire	2,105
	Petersfield Causeway	East Hampshire	2,121
	Petersfield Heath	East Hampshire	2,246
	Petersfield St. Peter's	East Hampshire	4,689
	Ropley, Hawkley & Hangers	East Hampshire	2,359
	Rowlands Castle	East Hampshire	2,498
	Whitehill Hogmoor & Greatham	East Hampshire	4,280
East Isle of W	ight CC		57,004
	Bembridge	Isle of Wight	3,223
	Binstead & Fishbourne	Isle of Wight	2,909
	Brading & St Helens	Isle of Wight	2,811
	East Cowes	Isle of Wight	3,154
	Fairlee & Whippingham	Isle of Wight	2,973
	Haylands & Swanmore	Isle of Wight	2,700
	Lake North	Isle of Wight	2,858
	Lake South	Isle of Wight	2,926
	Nettlestone & Seaview	Isle of Wight	2,456
	Osborne	Isle of Wight	3,128
	Ryde Appley & Elmfield	Isle of Wight	3,025
	Ryde Monktonmead	Isle of Wight	3,056
	Ryde North West	Isle of Wight	3,071
	Ryde South East	Isle of Wight	1,735
	Ryde West	Isle of Wight	2,708
	Sandown North	Isle of Wight	2,660
	Sandown South	Isle of Wight	2,869
	Shanklin Central	Isle of Wight	2,923
	Shanklin South	Isle of Wight	2,969
	Wootton Bridge	Isle of Wight	2,850
East New For	est CC		73,823
	Ashurst, Copythorne South and Netley Marsh	New Forest	4,812
	Boldre and Sway	New Forest	4,581
	Bramshaw, Copythorne North and Minstead	New Forest	2,180

	w		
Constituency	Ward	Local authority	Electorate
	Brockenhurst and Forest South East	New Forest	4,578
	Butts Ash and Dibden Purlieu	New Forest	5,173
	Dibden and Hythe East	New Forest	4,578
	Fawley, Blackfield and Langley	New Forest	4,867
	Furzedown and Hardley	New Forest	2,742
	Holbury and North Blackfield	New Forest	5,102
	Hythe West and Langdown	New Forest	5,185
	Lyndhurst	New Forest	2,564
	Marchwood	New Forest	4,669
	Totton Central	New Forest	4,254
	Totton East	New Forest	5,032
	Totton North	New Forest	4,968
	Totton South	New Forest	4,718
	Totton West	New Forest	3,820
East Surrey C	С		73,145
	Hooley, Merstham & Netherne	Reigate and Banstead	7,826
	Bletchingley and Nutfield	Tandridge	4,441
	Burstow, Horne and Outwood	Tandridge	4,814
	Chaldon	Tandridge	1,443
	Dormansland and Felcourt	Tandridge	3,122
	Felbridge	Tandridge	1,803
	Godstone	Tandridge	4,533
	Harestone	Tandridge	3,154
	Limpsfield	Tandridge	2,877
	Lingfield and Crowhurst	Tandridge	3,335
	Oxted North and Tandridge	Tandridge	4,515
	Oxted South	Tandridge	4,844
	Portley	Tandridge	3,399
	Queens Park	Tandridge	2,925
	Tatsfield and Titsey	Tandridge	1,578
	Valley	Tandridge	3,197
	Warlingham East and Chelsham and Farleigh	Tandridge	4,382
	Warlingham West	Tandridge	2,834
	Westway	Tandridge	3,461
	Whyteleafe	Tandridge	3,093
	Woldingham	Tandridge	1,569

East Thanet BCBeacon RoadThanet3,4BradstoweThanet3,2Central HarbourThanet5,9Cliffsend and PegwellThanet3,9	95 62
Beacon Road Thanet 3,4 Bradstowe Thanet 3,2 Central Harbour Thanet 5,9	95 62
Bradstowe Thanet 3,2 Central Harbour Thanet 5,9	62
Central Harbour Thanet 5,9	
Cliftonville East Thanet 5,1	
Cliftonville West Thanet 5,0	
Dane Valley Thanet 5,3	47
Eastcliff Thanet 5,2	62
Kingsgate Thanet 1,7	84
Margate Central Thanet 3,4	67
Nethercourt Thanet 3,6	18
Newington Thanet 3,6	71
Northwood Thanet 4,9	51
Salmestone Thanet 3,9	27
Sir Moses Montefiore Thanet 3,6	42
St. Peters Thanet 5,5	42
Viking Thanet 5,7	60
Eastbourne BC 73,3	22
Devonshire Eastbourne 8,5	
Hampden Park Eastbourne 7,6	43
Langney Eastbourne 7,7	41
Meads Eastbourne 8,2	19
Old Town Eastbourne 8,2	76
Ratton Eastbourne 7,3	86
Sovereign Eastbourne 9,2	42
St. Anthony's Eastbourne 8,3	16
Upperton Eastbourne 7,9	96
Eastleigh BC 69,9	82
Bishopstoke Eastleigh 8,4	84
Chandler's Ford Eastleigh 8,4	19
Eastleigh Central Eastleigh 7,6	34
Eastleigh North Eastleigh 7,3	75
Eastleigh South Eastleigh 7,3	_
Fair Oak & Horton Heath Eastleigh 8,1	67
Hiltingbury Eastleigh 8,2	86
West End North Eastleigh 4,5	66
West End South Eastleigh 4,9	39
Valley Park Test Valley 4,7	29

Constituency	Ward	Local authority	Electorate	
Epsom and Ewell BC				
•	Auriol	Epsom and Ewell	76,844 3,024	
	College	Epsom and Ewell	4,221	
	Court	Epsom and Ewell	4,701	
	Cuddington	Epsom and Ewell	4,402	
	Ewell	Epsom and Ewell	4,186	
	Ewell Court	Epsom and Ewell	4,245	
	Nonsuch	Epsom and Ewell	4,634	
	Ruxley	Epsom and Ewell	4,322	
	Stamford	Epsom and Ewell	5,339	
	Stoneleigh	Epsom and Ewell	3,630	
	Town	Epsom and Ewell	5,084	
	West Ewell	Epsom and Ewell	4,624	
	Woodcote	Epsom and Ewell	4,454	
	Ashtead Common	Mole Valley	3,222	
	Ashtead Park	Mole Valley	3,462	
	Ashtead Village	Mole Valley	4,726	
	Leatherhead North	Mole Valley	5,133	
	Leatherhead South	Mole Valley	3,435	
Esher and Wal	ton BC		73,922	
	Claygate	Elmbridge	5,534	
	Esher	Elmbridge	6,473	
	Hersham Village	Elmbridge	6,265	
	Hinchley Wood & Weston Green	Elmbridge	6,408	
	Long Ditton	Elmbridge	5,293	
	Molesey East	Elmbridge	6,441	
	Molesey West	Elmbridge	6,404	
	Oxshott & Stoke D'Abernon	Elmbridge	6,424	
	Thames Ditton	Elmbridge	6,645	
	Walton Central	Elmbridge	5,871	
	Walton North	Elmbridge	5,726	
	Walton South	Elmbridge	6,438	
Fareham and \	Waterlooville CC		77,036	
	Fareham East	Fareham	5,930	
	Fareham North	Fareham	5,621	
	Fareham North-West	Fareham	5,548	
	Fareham South	Fareham	5,505	
	Fareham West	Fareham	5,403	
	Portchester East	Fareham	8,883	

Constituency	Ward	Local authority E	Electorate
	Portchester West	Fareham	5,608
	Cowplain	Havant	7,434
	Hart Plain	Havant	7,657
	Waterloo	Havant	8,163
	Denmead	Winchester	6,494
	Southwick & Wickham	Winchester	4,790
Farnham and	Bordon CC		71,726
	Bramshott & Liphook	East Hampshire	7,126
	Grayshott	East Hampshire	2,079
	Headley	East Hampshire	4,492
	Lindford	East Hampshire	2,075
	Whitehill Chase	East Hampshire	4,713
	Whitehill Pinewood	East Hampshire	1,376
	Elstead and Thursley	Waverley	3,068
	Farnham Bourne	Waverley	3,300
	Farnham Castle	Waverley	3,352
	Farnham Firgrove	Waverley	3,251
	Farnham Hale and Heath End	Waverley	3,368
	Farnham Moor Park	Waverley	3,862
	Farnham Shortheath and Boundstone	Waverley	3,263
	Farnham Upper Hale	Waverley	3,220
	Farnham Weybourne and Badshot Lea	Waverley	3,497
	Farnham Wrecclesham and Rowledge	Waverley	3,492
	Frensham, Dockenfield and Tilford	Waverley	3,193
	Haslemere Critchmere and Shottermill	Waverley	4,480
	Haslemere East and Grayswood	Waverley	5,189
	Hindhead	Waverley	3,330
Faversham ar	nd Mid Kent CC		76,432
	Charing	Ashford	2,169
	Downs North	Ashford	2,048
	Downs West	Ashford	2,331
	Bearsted	Maidstone	6,595
	Boxley	Maidstone	6,584
	Detling and Thurnham	Maidstone	2,436
	Downswood and Otham	Maidstone	2,175

Conclitum	Ward		Floorenst
Constituency	ward	Local authority	Electorate
	Harrietsham and Lenham	Maidstone	5,278
	North Downs	Maidstone	2,030
	Park Wood	Maidstone	5,530
	Shepway North	Maidstone	6,459
	Shepway South	Maidstone	4,123
	Abbey	Swale	4,077
	Boughton and Courtenay	Swale	4,540
	East Downs	Swale	2,269
	Priory	Swale	2,091
	St. Ann's	Swale	4,138
	Teynham and Lynsted	Swale	4,813
	Watling	Swale	4,532
	West Downs	Swale	2,214
Folkestone an	nd Hythe CC		70,023
	Broadmead	Folkestone and Hythe	3,158
	Cheriton	Folkestone and Hythe	9,222
	East Folkestone	Folkestone and Hythe	8,266
	Folkestone Central	Folkestone and Hythe	7,527
	Folkestone Harbour	Folkestone and Hythe	4,791
	Hythe	Folkestone and Hythe	9,314
	Hythe Rural	Folkestone and Hythe	5,052
	New Romney	Folkestone and Hythe	5,938
	Romney Marsh	Folkestone and Hythe	6,146
	Sandgate & West Folkestone	Folkestone and Hythe	4,392
	Walland & Denge Marsh	Folkestone and Hythe	6,217
Gillingham an	d Rainham BC		73,951
_	Gillingham North	Medway	11,879
	Gillingham South	Medway	10,677
	Hempstead and Wigmore	Medway	6,610
	Rainham Central	Medway	9,939
	Rainham North	Medway	7,063
		-	·

Constituency	Ward	Local authority	Electorate
Constituency			
	Rainham South	Medway	10,369
	Twydall	Medway	10,058
	Watling	Medway	7,356
Godalming ar	nd Ash CC		69,981
	Ash South and Tongham	Guildford	6,366
	Ash Vale	Guildford	4,349
	Ash Wharf	Guildford	4,596
	Pilgrims	Guildford	2,003
	Shalford	Guildford	4,191
	Tillingbourne	Guildford	4,486
	Alfold, Cranleigh Rural and Ellens Green	Waverley	1,519
	Blackheath and Wonersh	Waverley	1,477
	Bramley, Busbridge and Hascombe	Waverley	3,640
	Chiddingfold and Dunsfold	Waverley	3,097
	Cranleigh East	Waverley	5,204
	Cranleigh West	Waverley	3,280
	Ewhurst	Waverley	1,650
	Godalming Binscombe	Waverley	3,084
	Godalming Central and Ockford	Waverley	3,683
	Godalming Charterhouse	Waverley	2,777
	Godalming Farncombe and Catteshall	Waverley	3,629
	Godalming Holloway	Waverley	3,328
	Milford	Waverley	3,150
	Shamley Green and Cranleigh North	Waverley	1,428
	Witley and Hambledon	Waverley	3,044
Gosport BC			73,763
	Hill Head	Fareham	5,980
	Stubbington	Fareham	5,552
	Alverstoke	Gosport	3,532
	Anglesey	Gosport	2,998
	Bridgemary North	Gosport	3,453
	Bridgemary South	Gosport	3,556
	Brockhurst	Gosport	3,728
	Christchurch	Gosport	3,910
	Elson	Gosport	3,488
	Forton	Gosport	3,341

Constituency	Ward	Local authority	Electorate
	Grange	Gosport	4,022
	Hardway	Gosport	4,211
	Lee East	Gosport	4,643
	Lee West	Gosport	4,123
	Leesland	Gosport	3,469
	Peel Common	Gosport	3,327
	Privett	Gosport	3,301
	Rowner and Holbrook	Gosport	3,256
	Town	Gosport	3,873
Gravesham C	C		72,866
	Central	Gravesham	4,662
	Chalk	Gravesham	1,780
	Coldharbour	Gravesham	3,286
	Higham	Gravesham	3,235
	Istead Rise	Gravesham	2,852
	Meopham North	Gravesham	3,553
	Meopham South and Vigo	Gravesham	3,505
	Northfleet North	Gravesham	4,892
	Northfleet South	Gravesham	5,509
	Painters Ash	Gravesham	4,353
	Pelham	Gravesham	4,777
	Riverside	Gravesham	5,290
	Riverview	Gravesham	3,317
	Shorne, Cobham and Luddesdown	Gravesham	3,331
	Singlewell	Gravesham	5,441
	Westcourt	Gravesham	4,729
	Whitehill	Gravesham	3,279
	Woodlands	Gravesham	5,075
Guildford CC			71,367
	Burpham	Guildford	4,130
	Christchurch	Guildford	4,245
	Clandon and Horsley	Guildford	6,947
	Effingham	Guildford	2,105
	Friary and St. Nicolas	Guildford	6,509
	Holy Trinity	Guildford	5,713
	Lovelace	Guildford	1,912
	Merrow	Guildford	5,874
	Onslow	Guildford	6,575
	Send	Guildford	3,383

Constituency	Ward	Local authority	Electorate
	Stoke	Guildford	4,419
	Stoughton	Guildford	6,824
	Westborough	Guildford	6,443
	Worplesdon	Guildford	6,288
Haileham and	Crowborough CC	Galiatora	70,015
nalistiatii attu	Buxted	Wealden	2,816
	Chiddingly, East Hoathly & Waldron	Wealden	2,774
	Crowborough Central	Wealden	2,917
	Crowborough Jarvis Brook	Wealden	2,982
	Crowborough North	Wealden	2,887
	Crowborough South East	Wealden	2,706
	Crowborough South West	Wealden	2,503
	Crowborough St. Johns	Wealden	2,761
	Framfield & Cross-in-Hand	Wealden	2,645
	Frant & Wadhurst	Wealden	5,365
	Hadlow Down & Rotherfield	Wealden	2,642
	Hailsham Central	Wealden	2,504
	Hailsham East	Wealden	3,139
	Hailsham North	Wealden	2,598
	Hailsham North West	Wealden	2,644
	Hailsham South	Wealden	2,889
	Hailsham West	Wealden	2,984
	Heathfield North	Wealden	3,126
	Heathfield South	Wealden	3,037
	Hellingly	Wealden	3,207
	Horam & Punnetts Town	Wealden	5,671
	Mayfield & Five Ashes	Wealden	2,739
	Withyham	Wealden	2,479
Hastings and	Rye CC		75,581
	Ashdown	Hastings	4,020
	Baird	Hastings	3,760
	Braybrooke	Hastings	3,913
	Castle	Hastings	4,579
	Central St. Leonards	Hastings	4,584
	Conquest	Hastings	3,778
	Gensing	Hastings	4,403
	Hollington	Hastings	3,820
	Maze Hill	Hastings	3,749
	Old Hastings	Hastings	4,018
			· · · · ·

Constituency	v Ward	Local authority	Electorate
	Ore	Hastings	3,864
	Silverhill	Hastings	4,191
	St. Helens	Hastings	3,916
	Tressell	Hastings	3,652
	West St. Leonards	Hastings	3,605
	Wishing Tree	Hastings	4,234
	Eastern Rother	Rother	3,889
	Rye & Winchelsea	Rother	3,988
	Southern Rother	Rother	3,618
Havant BC			72,766
	Barncroft	Havant	4,569
	Battins	Havant	4,853
	Bedhampton	Havant	7,444
	Bondfields	Havant	4,890
	Emsworth	Havant	8,231
	Hayling East	Havant	7,568
	Hayling West	Havant	7,024
	Purbrook	Havant	7,583
	St. Faith's	Havant	7,876
	Stakes	Havant	7,704
	Warren Park	Havant	5,024
Hedge End C	С		76,902
	Botley	Eastleigh	4,782
	Bursledon & Hound North	Eastleigh	6,908
	Hamble & Netley	Eastleigh	7,970
	Hedge End North	Eastleigh	7,501
	Hedge End South	Eastleigh	8,790
	Locks Heath	Fareham	5,648
	Park Gate	Fareham	7,137
	Sarisbury	Fareham	6,018
	Titchfield	Fareham	5,926
	Titchfield Common	Fareham	6,052
	Warsash	Fareham	5,536
	Whiteley & Shedfield	Winchester	4,634
Henley CC			70,626
	Benson & Crowmarsh	South Oxfordshire	6,082
	Berinsfield	South Oxfordshire	2,938
	Chalgrove	South Oxfordshire	2,724
	Chinnor	South Oxfordshire	6,915
	Forest Hill & Holton	South Oxfordshire	2,747

54

Constituency	Ward	Local authority	Electorate
	Garsington & Horspath	South Oxfordshire	2,829
	Goring	South Oxfordshire	3,163
	Haseley Brook	South Oxfordshire	3,176
	Henley-on-Thames	South Oxfordshire	8,904
	Kidmore End & Whitchurch	South Oxfordshire	2,889
	Sonning Common	South Oxfordshire	5,571
	Thame	South Oxfordshire	9,824
	Watlington	South Oxfordshire	3,072
	Wheatley	South Oxfordshire	3,250
	Woodcote & Rotherfield	South Oxfordshire	6,542
High Wycomb	e CC		71,769
J	Abbey	Buckinghamshire	8,050
	Booker, Cressex and Castlefield	Buckinghamshire	8,152
	Chiltern Villages	Buckinghamshire	8,904
	Downley	Buckinghamshire	8,380
	Ryemead and Micklefield	Buckinghamshire	7,520
	Terriers and Amersham Hill	Buckinghamshire	7,516
	Totteridge and Bowerdean	Buckinghamshire	7,750
	Tylers Green and Loudwater	Buckinghamshire	8,286
	West Wycombe	Buckinghamshire	7,211
Horsham CC			76,981
	Billingshurst	Horsham	7,427
	Broadbridge Heath	Horsham	4,133
	Colgate & Rusper	Horsham	3,876
	Cowfold, Shermanbury & West Grinstead	Horsham	4,452
	Denne	Horsham	7,194
	Forest	Horsham	6,817
	Holbrook East	Horsham	4,592
	Holbrook West	Horsham	5,024
	Itchingfield, Slinfold & Warnham	Horsham	4,615
	Nuthurst & Lower Beeding	Horsham	2,466
	Roffey North	Horsham	4,884
	Roffey South	Horsham	4,981
	Rudgwick	Horsham	2,230
	Southwater North	Horsham	4,444
	Southwater South & Shipley	Horsham	5,044
	Trafalgar	Horsham	4,802

Constituency	Ward	Local authority	Electorate
Hove and Brig	phton West BC		73,726
	Brunswick and Adelaide	Brighton and Hove	7,117
	Central Hove	Brighton and Hove	6,848
	Goldsmid	Brighton and Hove	11,530
	Hangleton and Knoll	Brighton and Hove	10,911
	Hove Park	Brighton and Hove	8,225
	North Portslade	Brighton and Hove	7,452
	South Portslade	Brighton and Hove	7,259
	Westbourne	Brighton and Hove	7,182
	Wish	Brighton and Hove	7,202
Lewes CC			71,204
	Kingston	Lewes	1,630
	Lewes Bridge	Lewes	3,949
	Lewes Castle	Lewes	3,137
	Lewes Priory	Lewes	6,128
	Newhaven North	Lewes	3,798
	Newhaven South	Lewes	5,049
	Ouse Valley & Ringmer	Lewes	5,094
	Seaford Central	Lewes	3,995
	Seaford East	Lewes	3,935
	Seaford North	Lewes	4,144
	Seaford South	Lewes	3,643
	Seaford West	Lewes	3,894
	Arlington	Wealden	2,440
	Lower Willingdon	Wealden	2,731
	Polegate Central	Wealden	3,370
	Polegate North	Wealden	3,137
	Polegate South & Willingdon Watermill	Wealden	2,885
	South Downs	Wealden	2,636
	Stone Cross	Wealden	2,829
	Upper Willingdon	Wealden	2,780
Maidenhead C	cc		73,463
	Ascot	Bracknell Forest	4,100
	Binfield with Warfield	Bracknell Forest	7,956
	Winkfield and Cranbourne	Bracknell Forest	4,062
	Belmont	Windsor and Maidenhead	5,168
	Bisham & Cookham	Windsor and Maidenhead	5,396

Constituency	Ward	Local authority	Electorate
	Boyn Hill	Windsor and Maidenhead	4,830
	Bray	Windsor and Maidenhead	5,677
	Cox Green	Windsor and Maidenhead	5,576
	Furze Platt	Windsor and Maidenhead	5,551
	Hurley & Walthams	Windsor and Maidenhead	4,854
	Oldfield	Windsor and Maidenhead	4,946
	Pinkneys Green	Windsor and Maidenhead	5,573
	Riverside	Windsor and Maidenhead	5,365
	St. Mary's	Windsor and Maidenhead	4,409
Maidstone an	d Malling CC		74,685
	Allington	Maidstone	6,019
	Barming and Teston	Maidstone	1,962
	Bridge	Maidstone	4,463
	East	Maidstone	6,148
	Fant	Maidstone	6,407
	Heath	Maidstone	4,706
	High Street	Maidstone	6,454
	North	Maidstone	5,937
	South	Maidstone	7,068
	Aylesford South	Tonbridge and Malling	3,893
	Ditton	Tonbridge and Malling	3,889
	East Malling	Tonbridge and Malling	3,833
	Kings Hill	Tonbridge and Malling	6,552
	Wateringbury	Tonbridge and Malling	1,601
	West Malling and Leybourne	Tonbridge and Malling	5,753

Constituency	Ward	Local authority	Electorate
	outh Buckinghamshire CC	,	70,312
wanow and o	Cliveden	Buckinghamshire	7,600
	Denham	Buckinghamshire	7,255
	Farnham Common and Burnham Beeches	Buckinghamshire	7,186
	Flackwell Heath, Little Marlow and Marlow South East	Buckinghamshire	8,479
	Gerrards Cross	Buckinghamshire	6,843
	Iver	Buckinghamshire	8,164
	Marlow	Buckinghamshire	8,439
	Stoke Poges and Wexham	Buckinghamshire	7,796
	The Wooburns, Bourne End and Hedsor	Buckinghamshire	8,550
Mid Berkshire	CC		69,999
	Kentwood	Reading	7,027
	Norcot	Reading	7,042
	Tilehurst	Reading	7,129
	Aldermaston	West Berkshire	3,029
	Basildon	West Berkshire	2,865
	Bradfield	West Berkshire	2,934
	Bucklebury	West Berkshire	2,872
	Burghfield & Mortimer	West Berkshire	8,141
	Part of Downlands (polling district BC)	West Berkshire	385
	Pangbourne	West Berkshire	2,881
	Ridgeway	West Berkshire	3,178
	Theale	West Berkshire	2,343
	Tilehurst & Purley	West Berkshire	8,382
	Tilehurst Birch Copse	West Berkshire	6,161
	Tilehurst South & Holybrook	West Berkshire	5,630
Mid Sussex C	С		72,255
	Bolney	Mid Sussex	2,225
	Burgess Hill Dunstall	Mid Sussex	3,942
	Burgess Hill Franklands	Mid Sussex	4,009
	Burgess Hill Leylands	Mid Sussex	3,654
	Burgess Hill Meeds	Mid Sussex	3,743
	Burgess Hill St. Andrews	Mid Sussex	4,538
	Burgess Hill Victoria	Mid Sussex	4,490
	Cuckfield	Mid Sussex	4,552
	Hassocks	Mid Sussex	6,416

0 11	W. I		
Constituency	ward	Local authority	Electorate
	Haywards Heath Ashenground	Mid Sussex	4,104
	Haywards Heath Bentswood	Mid Sussex	4,427
	Haywards Heath Franklands	Mid Sussex	4,145
	Haywards Heath Heath	Mid Sussex	4,375
	Haywards Heath Lucastes	Mid Sussex	5,107
	Hurstpierpoint and Downs	Mid Sussex	6,480
	Lindfield	Mid Sussex	6,048
Milton Keynes	BC		76,708
	Broughton	Milton Keynes	11,151
	Campbell Park & Old Woughton	Milton Keynes	9,204
	Central Milton Keynes	Milton Keynes	8,642
	Danesborough & Walton	Milton Keynes	9,538
	Loughton & Shenley	Milton Keynes	9,958
	Monkston	Milton Keynes	8,556
	Shenley Brook End	Milton Keynes	9,451
	Woughton & Fishermead	Milton Keynes	10,208
Newbury CC			71,631
	Chieveley & Cold Ash	West Berkshire	5,519
	Part of Downlands (polling districts BG, CA, CB, EA, FA, FB, GA1, GA2, LB, and PC)	West Berkshire	2,669
	Hungerford & Kintbury	West Berkshire	9,013
	Lambourn	West Berkshire	3,218
	Newbury Central	West Berkshire	5,298
	Newbury Clay Hill	West Berkshire	5,201
	Newbury Greenham	West Berkshire	8,697
	Newbury Speen	West Berkshire	5,602
	Newbury Wash Common	West Berkshire	7,118
	Thatcham Central	West Berkshire	5,364
	Thatcham Colthrop & Crookham	West Berkshire	2,622
	Thatcham North East	West Berkshire	5,888
	Thatcham West	West Berkshire	5,422
Newport Pagr			70,620
	Bradwell	Milton Keynes	9,282
	Newport Pagnell North & Hanslope	Milton Keynes	9,990
	Newport Pagnell South	Milton Keynes	9,366

Constituency	Ward	Local authority	Electorate
Constituency		-	
	Olney	Milton Keynes	9,826
	Stantonbury	Milton Keynes	10,906
	Stony Stratford	Milton Keynes	10,507
	Wolverton	Milton Keynes	10,743
North East Ha	mpshire CC		74,638
	Basing & Upton Grey	Basingstoke and Deane	8,069
	Bramley	Basingstoke and Deane	6,399
	Part of Oakley & the Candovers (polling districts OC02, OC10, OC12, OC13, OC14, OC15, OC16, and OC17)	Basingstoke and Deane	1,332
	Crookham East	Hart	5,835
	Crookham West and Ewshot	Hart	7,597
	Fleet Central	Hart	6,490
	Fleet East	Hart	5,801
	Fleet West	Hart	6,416
	Hartley Wintney	Hart	7,182
	Hook	Hart	6,558
	Odiham	Hart	6,506
	Yateley West	Hart	6,453
North West Ha	ampshire CC		76,004
	Evingar	Basingstoke and Deane	7,359
	Sherborne St. John & Rooksdown	Basingstoke and Deane	6,953
	Tadley & Pamber	Basingstoke and Deane	8,748
	Tadley North, Kingsclere & Baughurst	Basingstoke and Deane	8,451
	Whitchurch, Overton & Laverstoke	Basingstoke and Deane	8,011
	Andover Downlands	Test Valley	3,535
	Andover Harroway	Test Valley	7,142
	Andover Millway	Test Valley	6,776
	Andover Romans	Test Valley	5,769
	Andover St. Mary's	Test Valley	5,893
	Andover Winton	Test Valley	4,826
	Bourne Valley	Test Valley	2,541

60

Constituency	Ward	Local authority	Electorate
Oxford East B	С		72,371
	Barton & Sandhills	Oxford	3,866
	Blackbird Leys	Oxford	3,887
	Churchill	Oxford	4,370
	Cowley	Oxford	4,428
	Donnington	Oxford	4,424
	Headington	Oxford	4,741
	Headington Hill & Northway	Oxford	3,905
	Hinksey Park	Oxford	3,964
	Littlemore	Oxford	3,691
	Lye Valley	Oxford	3,836
	Marston	Oxford	4,432
	Northfield Brook	Oxford	4,084
	Quarry & Risinghurst	Oxford	4,859
	Rose Hill & Iffley	Oxford	4,375
	St. Clement's	Oxford	4,498
	St. Mary's	Oxford	4,870
	Temple Cowley	Oxford	4,141
Oxford West a	nd Abingdon CC		72,004
	Carfax & Jericho	Oxford	4,028
	Cutteslowe & Sunnymead	Oxford	4,721
	Holywell	Oxford	3,447
	Osney & St Thomas	Oxford	3,783
	Summertown	Oxford	4,130
	Walton Manor	Oxford	3,803
	Wolvercote	Oxford	3,490
	Abingdon Abbey Northcourt	Vale of White Horse	4,589
	Abingdon Caldecott	Vale of White Horse	5,503
	Abingdon Dunmore	Vale of White Horse	4,557
	Abingdon Fitzharris	Vale of White Horse	4,632
	Abingdon Peachcroft	Vale of White Horse	5,123
	Botley & Sunningwell	Vale of White Horse	4,939
	Cumnor	Vale of White Horse	4,938
	Kennington & Radley	Vale of White Horse	5,051
	Marcham	Vale of White Horse	2,566
	Wootton	Vale of White Horse	2,704
Portsmouth N	orth BC		71,844
	Baffins	Portsmouth	11,094
	Copnor	Portsmouth	9,793
	Cosham	Portsmouth	10,217

Constituency	Ward	Local authority	Electorate
	Drayton and Farlington	Portsmouth	10,510
	Hilsea	Portsmouth	
	Nelson	Portsmouth	10,265 9,826
	Paulsgrove	Portsmouth	10,139
		FORSIHOURI	·
Portsmouth S		_	74,253
	Central Southsea	Portsmouth	11,731
	Charles Dickens	Portsmouth	13,368
	Eastney and Craneswater	Portsmouth	9,373
	Fratton	Portsmouth	9,795
	Milton	Portsmouth	10,339
	St. Jude	Portsmouth	8,873
	St. Thomas	Portsmouth	10,774
Princes Risbo	rough CC		72,240
	Bernwood	Buckinghamshire	8,220
	Part of Chiltern Ridges (polling districts CM, CMA, CMB, CMC, CG, CGA, and CSB)	Buckinghamshire	4,608
	Great Missenden	Buckinghamshire	7,981
	Grendon Underwood	Buckinghamshire	8,581
	Ridgeway East	Buckinghamshire	8,562
	Ridgeway West	Buckinghamshire	8,001
	Stone and Waddesdon	Buckinghamshire	10,032
	The Risboroughs	Buckinghamshire	7,858
	Wendover, Halton and Stoke Mandeville	Buckinghamshire	8,397
Reading BC			71,283
	Abbey	Reading	8,288
	Battle	Reading	6,487
	Caversham	Reading	7,041
	Katesgrove	Reading	6,145
	Mapledurham	Reading	2,498
	Minster	Reading	6,786
	Park	Reading	6,868
	Peppard	Reading	7,315
	Redlands	Reading	6,249
	Southcote	Reading	6,368
	Thames	Reading	7,238

Reigate CC	Constituency	Ward	Local authority	Electorate
Banstead Village	Reigate CC			69.805
& Woodmansterne Banstead Earlswood & Whitebushes Reigate and Banstead 6,909 Lower Kingswood, Tadworth & Walton Reigate and Banstead 7,865 Meadvale & St. John's Reigate and Banstead 6,620 Nork Reigate and Banstead 7,205 Redhill East Reigate and Banstead 5,749 Redhill West & Wray Reigate and Banstead 6,900 Reigate Reigate and Banstead 7,242 Reigate Reigate and Banstead 7,018 Reigate and Banstead 7,018 7,018 Reig	J	Banstead Village	•	
Banstead Lower Kingswood, Tadworth & Walton Reigate and Banstead Redwald Reigate and Banstead Redwald Reigate and Banstead Redhill East Reigate and Banstead Redhill West & Wray Reigate and Banstead Redhill West & Wray Reigate and Banstead Reigate Reigate and Banstead Reigate			•	7,519
& Walton Banstead Meadvale & St. John's Reigate and Banstead Nork Reigate and Banstead Redhill East Reigate and Banstead Redhill West & Wray Reigate and Banstead Reigate Reigate and Banstead Reigate Reigate and Banstead Tattenham Corner & Preston Reigate and Banstead Rochester and Strood CC 72,155 Cuxton and Halling Medway 5,151 Peninsula Medway 11,729 River Medway 7,370 Rochester East Medway 7,723 Strood North Medway 11,787 Strood Rural Medway 11,787 Strood South Medway 11,135 Romsey and Southampton North CC 73,831 Bassett Southampton 10,150 Swaythling Southampton 8,467 Ampfield & Braishfield Test Valley 2,628 Bellinger Test Valley 2,628 Blackwater Test Valley 2,653 Chilworth, Nursling Test Valley 2,653 <td></td> <td>Earlswood & Whitebushes</td> <td>_</td> <td>6,909</td>		Earlswood & Whitebushes	_	6,909
Banstead Nork		=	•	7,865
Banstead Redhill East Reigate and Banstead S,749		Meadvale & St. John's	•	6,620
Redhill West & Wray Reigate and 6,900 Common Banstead Reigate Reigate Reigate and Banstead Reigate Reigate and Banstead Tattenham Corner & Preston Reigate and Banstead Rochester and Strood CC 72,155 Cuxton and Halling Medway 5,151 Peninsula Medway 11,729 River Medway 6,972 Rochester East Medway 7,370 Rochester West Medway 7,370 Rochester West Medway 10,288 Strood North Medway 11,787 Strood South Medway 11,787 Strood South Medway 11,135 Romsey and Southampton North CC 73,831 Romsey and Southampton North CC 73,831 Romsey and Southampton Rochester Southampton 8,467 Ampfield & Braishfield Test Valley 2,196 Anna Test Valley 5,058 Bellinger Test Valley 2,628 Blackwater Test Valley 4,936 Charlton & the Pentons Test Valley 2,653 Chilworth, Nursling Test Valley 6,069 & Rownhams Harewood Test Valley 2,684		Nork		7,205
CommonBansteadReigateReigate and Banstead7,242Banstead7,018Tattenham Corner & PrestonReigate and Banstead7,018Rochester and Strood CC72,155Cuxton and HallingMedway5,151PeninsulaMedway11,729RiverMedway6,972Rochester EastMedway7,370Rochester WestMedway10,288Strood NorthMedway11,787Strood SouthMedway11,787Strood SouthMedway11,135Romsey and Southampton North CC73,831BassettSouthampton10,150SwaythlingSouthampton8,467Ampfield & BraishfieldTest Valley2,196AnnaTest Valley2,628BellingerTest Valley2,628BlackwaterTest Valley4,936Charlton & the PentonsTest Valley2,653Chilworth, Nursling & RownhamsTest Valley6,069& RownhamsHarewoodTest Valley2,684		Redhill East	•	5,749
Rochester and Strood CC Cuxton and Halling Peninsula Rochester East Rochester West Strood North Strood South Medway Medway Medway Medway River Rochester West Rochester West Medway Rochester West Medway Rochester West Rochester		•	_	6,900
Rochester and Strood CC		Reigate	_	7,242
Cuxton and Halling Medway 5,151 Peninsula Medway 11,729 River Medway 6,972 Rochester East Medway 7,370 Rochester West Medway 7,723 Strood North Medway 10,288 Strood Rural Medway 11,787 Strood South Medway 11,135 Romsey and Southampton North CC 73,831 Bassett Southampton 10,150 Swaythling Southampton 8,467 Ampfield & Braishfield Test Valley 2,196 Anna Test Valley 5,058 Bellinger Test Valley 2,628 Blackwater Test Valley 4,936 Charlton & the Pentons Test Valley 2,653 Chilworth, Nursling Test Valley 6,069 & Rownhams Harewood Test Valley 2,684		Tattenham Corner & Preston	•	7,018
Peninsula Medway 11,729 River Medway 6,972 Rochester East Medway 7,370 Rochester West Medway 7,723 Strood North Medway 10,288 Strood Rural Medway 11,787 Strood South Medway 11,787 Strood South Medway 11,135 Romsey and Southampton North CC 73,831 Bassett Southampton 10,150 Swaythling Southampton 8,467 Ampfield & Braishfield Test Valley 2,196 Anna Test Valley 2,628 Bellinger Test Valley 2,628 Blackwater Test Valley 4,936 Charlton & the Pentons Test Valley 2,653 Chilworth, Nursling Test Valley 6,069 & Rownhams Harewood Test Valley 2,684	Rochester and	d Strood CC		72,155
River Medway 6,972 Rochester East Medway 7,370 Rochester West Medway 7,723 Strood North Medway 10,288 Strood Rural Medway 11,787 Strood South Medway 11,135 Romsey and Southampton North CC 73,831 Bassett Southampton 10,150 Swaythling Southampton 8,467 Ampfield & Braishfield Test Valley 2,196 Anna Test Valley 5,058 Bellinger Test Valley 2,628 Blackwater Test Valley 4,936 Charlton & the Pentons Test Valley 2,653 Chilworth, Nursling Test Valley 6,069 & Rownhams Harewood Test Valley 2,684		Cuxton and Halling	Medway	5,151
Rochester East Medway 7,370		Peninsula	Medway	11,729
Rochester West Medway 7,723 Strood North Medway 10,288 Strood Rural Medway 11,787 Strood South Medway 11,135 Romsey and Southampton North CC 73,831 Bassett Southampton 10,150 Swaythling Southampton 8,467 Ampfield & Braishfield Test Valley 2,196 Anna Test Valley 5,058 Bellinger Test Valley 2,628 Blackwater Test Valley 4,936 Charlton & the Pentons Test Valley 2,653 Chilworth, Nursling Test Valley 6,069 & Rownhams Harewood Test Valley 2,684		River	Medway	6,972
Strood North Medway 10,288 Strood Rural Medway 11,787 Strood South Medway 11,135 Romsey and Southampton North CC 73,831 Bassett Southampton 10,150 Swaythling Southampton 8,467 Ampfield & Braishfield Test Valley 2,196 Anna Test Valley 5,058 Bellinger Test Valley 2,628 Blackwater Test Valley 4,936 Charlton & the Pentons Test Valley 2,653 Chilworth, Nursling Test Valley 6,069 & Rownhams Harewood Test Valley 2,684		Rochester East	Medway	7,370
Strood Rural Medway 11,787 Strood South Medway 11,135 Romsey and Southampton North CC 73,831 Bassett Southampton 10,150 Swaythling Southampton 8,467 Ampfield & Braishfield Test Valley 2,196 Anna Test Valley 5,058 Bellinger Test Valley 2,628 Blackwater Test Valley 4,936 Charlton & the Pentons Test Valley 2,653 Chilworth, Nursling Test Valley 6,069 & Rownhams Harewood Test Valley 2,684		Rochester West	Medway	7,723
Romsey and Southampton North CC Bassett Southampton Swaythling Southampton Swaythling Southampton Sou		Strood North	Medway	10,288
Romsey and Southampton North CC Bassett Southampton 10,150 Swaythling Southampton 8,467 Ampfield & Braishfield Test Valley 2,196 Anna Test Valley 5,058 Bellinger Test Valley 2,628 Blackwater Test Valley 4,936 Charlton & the Pentons Test Valley 2,653 Chilworth, Nursling Test Valley 6,069 & Rownhams Harewood Test Valley 2,684		Strood Rural	Medway	11,787
Bassett Southampton 10,150 Swaythling Southampton 8,467 Ampfield & Braishfield Test Valley 2,196 Anna Test Valley 5,058 Bellinger Test Valley 2,628 Blackwater Test Valley 4,936 Charlton & the Pentons Test Valley 2,653 Chilworth, Nursling Test Valley 6,069 & Rownhams Harewood Test Valley 2,684		Strood South	Medway	11,135
Swaythling Southampton 8,467 Ampfield & Braishfield Test Valley 2,196 Anna Test Valley 5,058 Bellinger Test Valley 2,628 Blackwater Test Valley 4,936 Charlton & the Pentons Test Valley 2,653 Chilworth, Nursling Test Valley 6,069 & Rownhams Harewood Test Valley 2,684	Romsey and S	Southampton North CC		73,831
Ampfield & Braishfield Test Valley 2,196 Anna Test Valley 5,058 Bellinger Test Valley 2,628 Blackwater Test Valley 4,936 Charlton & the Pentons Test Valley 2,653 Chilworth, Nursling Test Valley 6,069 & Rownhams Harewood Test Valley 2,684		Bassett	Southampton	10,150
Anna Test Valley 5,058 Bellinger Test Valley 2,628 Blackwater Test Valley 4,936 Charlton & the Pentons Test Valley 2,653 Chilworth, Nursling Test Valley 6,069 & Rownhams Harewood Test Valley 2,684		Swaythling	Southampton	8,467
Bellinger Test Valley 2,628 Blackwater Test Valley 4,936 Charlton & the Pentons Test Valley 2,653 Chilworth, Nursling Test Valley 6,069 & Rownhams Harewood Test Valley 2,684		Ampfield & Braishfield	Test Valley	2,196
Blackwater Test Valley 4,936 Charlton & the Pentons Test Valley 2,653 Chilworth, Nursling Test Valley 6,069 & Rownhams Harewood Test Valley 2,684		Anna	Test Valley	5,058
Charlton & the Pentons Test Valley 2,653 Chilworth, Nursling Test Valley 6,069 & Rownhams Harewood Test Valley 2,684		Bellinger	Test Valley	2,628
Chilworth, Nursling Test Valley 6,069 & Rownhams Harewood Test Valley 2,684		Blackwater	Test Valley	4,936
& Rownhams Harewood Test Valley 2,684		Charlton & the Pentons	Test Valley	2,653
			Test Valley	6,069
Mid Test Test Valley 7,308		Harewood	Test Valley	2,684
		Mid Test	Test Valley	7,308

Constituence	Word	Local authority	Electorete
Constituency	ward	Local authority	Electorate
	North Baddesley	Test Valley	5,886
	Romsey Abbey	Test Valley	4,877
	Romsey Cupernham	Test Valley	6,233
	Romsey Tadburn	Test Valley	4,686
Sevenoaks CO			75,694
	Darenth	Dartford	2,010
	Wilmington, Sutton-at-Hone & Hawley	Dartford	6,131
	Brasted, Chevening & Sundridge	Sevenoaks	4,928
	Crockenhill and Well Hill	Sevenoaks	1,556
	Dunton Green and Riverhead	Sevenoaks	4,086
	Eynsford	Sevenoaks	1,494
	Farningham, Horton Kirby and South Darenth	Sevenoaks	3,842
	Fawkham and West Kingsdown	Sevenoaks	4,949
	Halstead, Knockholt and Badgers Mount	Sevenoaks	2,790
	Hextable	Sevenoaks	3,355
	Kemsing	Sevenoaks	3,233
	Otford and Shoreham	Sevenoaks	3,593
	Seal & Weald	Sevenoaks	3,212
	Sevenoaks Eastern	Sevenoaks	2,961
	Sevenoaks Kippington	Sevenoaks	3,693
	Sevenoaks Northern	Sevenoaks	3,111
	Sevenoaks Town and St. John's	Sevenoaks	4,703
	Swanley Christchurch and Swanley Village	Sevenoaks	4,629
	Swanley St. Mary's	Sevenoaks	3,181
	Swanley White Oak	Sevenoaks	4,776
	Westerham and Crockham Hill	Sevenoaks	3,461
Shoreham CC			76,755
	Buckingham	Adur	3,093
	Churchill	Adur	3,430
	Eastbrook	Adur	3,393
	Hillside	Adur	3,435
	Manor	Adur	3,350
	Marine	Adur	3,609

Constituency	Word	Local authority	Flootevete
Constituency		Local authority	Electorate
	Mash Barn	Adur	3,355
	Southlands	Adur	3,153
	Southwick Green	Adur	3,493
	St. Mary's	Adur	3,927
	St. Nicolas	Adur	3,132
	Widewater	Adur	4,767
	Bramber, Upper Beeding & Woodmancote	Horsham	4,362
	Henfield	Horsham	4,726
	Pulborough, Coldwaltham & Amberley	Horsham	5,871
	Steyning & Ashurst	Horsham	4,917
	Storrington & Washington	Horsham	7,648
	West Chiltington, Thakeham & Ashington	Horsham	7,094
Sittingbourne	and Sheppey CC		76,818
	Bobbing, Iwade and Lower Halstow	Swale	4,541
	Borden and Grove Park	Swale	4,685
	Chalkwell	Swale	2,257
	Hartlip, Newington and Upchurch	Swale	4,813
	Homewood	Swale	4,836
	Kemsley	Swale	4,417
	Milton Regis	Swale	4,396
	Minster Cliffs	Swale	6,200
	Murston	Swale	4,225
	Queenborough and Halfway	Swale	5,924
	Roman	Swale	4,538
	Sheerness	Swale	7,894
	Sheppey Central	Swale	6,526
	Sheppey East	Swale	4,393
	The Meads	Swale	2,613
	Woodstock	Swale	4,560
Slough BC			75,287
	Baylis and Stoke	Slough	5,960
	Britwell and Northborough	Slough	6,238
	Central	Slough	6,289
	Chalvey	Slough	5,771
	Cippenham Green	Slough	6,412
	Cippenham Meadows	Slough	6,769

Constituency	Ward	Local authority	Electorate
	Elliman	Slough	5,523
	Farnham	Slough	5,783
	Haymill and Lynch Hill	Slough	6,592
	Langley St. Mary's	Slough	6,874
	Upton	Slough	6,667
	Wexham Lea	Slough	6,409
Southampton	Itchen BC	-	72,150
	Bargate	Southampton	12,185
	Bitterne	Southampton	9,552
	Bitterne Park	Southampton	9,897
	Harefield	Southampton	10,014
	Peartree	Southampton	9,925
	Sholing	Southampton	10,319
	Woolston	Southampton	10,258
Southampton	Test BC		69,960
	Bevois	Southampton	10,179
	Coxford	Southampton	9,707
	Freemantle	Southampton	9,797
	Millbrook	Southampton	10,099
	Portswood	Southampton	10,489
	Redbridge	Southampton	10,233
	Shirley	Southampton	9,456
Spelthorne Bo	C		72,897
	Ashford Common	Spelthorne	6,245
	Ashford East	Spelthorne	5,715
	Ashford North and Stanwell South	Spelthorne	6,160
	Ashford Town	Spelthorne	5,309
	Halliford and Sunbury West	Spelthorne	4,855
	Laleham and Shepperton Green	Spelthorne	6,108
	Riverside and Laleham	Spelthorne	5,234
	Shepperton Town	Spelthorne	5,515
	Staines	Spelthorne	5,703
	Staines South	Spelthorne	5,303
	Stanwell North	Spelthorne	5,433
	Sunbury Common	Spelthorne	5,652
	Sunbury East	Spelthorne	5,665

Constituency	Ward	Local authority	Electorate
Surrey Heath	CC		70,825
·	Normandy	Guildford	2,496
	Pirbright	Guildford	1,977
	Bagshot	Surrey Heath	5,447
	Bisley & West End	Surrey Heath	6,725
	Frimley	Surrey Heath	3,779
	Frimley Green	Surrey Heath	5,145
	Heatherside	Surrey Heath	5,764
	Lightwater	Surrey Heath	5,343
	Mytchett & Deepcut	Surrey Heath	5,292
	Old Dean	Surrey Heath	3,770
	Parkside	Surrey Heath	3,885
	St. Michaels	Surrey Heath	4,014
	St. Pauls	Surrey Heath	3,957
	Town	Surrey Heath	3,714
	Watchetts	Surrey Heath	4,002
	Windlesham & Chobham	Surrey Heath	5,515
Tonbridge CC	;		72,091
	Ash and New Ash Green	Sevenoaks	4,620
	Cowden and Hever	Sevenoaks	1,680
	Edenbridge North and East	Sevenoaks	3,750
	Edenbridge South and West	Sevenoaks	3,171
	Hartley and Hodsoll Street	Sevenoaks	4,842
	Leigh and Chiddingstone Causeway	Sevenoaks	1,827
	Penshurst, Fordcombe and Chiddingstone	Sevenoaks	1,979
	Borough Green and Long Mill	Tonbridge and Malling	5,819
	Cage Green	Tonbridge and Malling	3,646
	Castle	Tonbridge and Malling	3,594
	Downs and Mereworth	Tonbridge and Malling	3,640
	Hadlow and East Peckham	Tonbridge and Malling	5,641
	Higham	Tonbridge and Malling	3,743
	Hildenborough	Tonbridge and Malling	3,822

Constituency	Ward	Local authority	Electorate
,			
	Judd	Tonbridge and Malling	3,882
	Medway	Tonbridge and Malling	5,352
	Trench	Tonbridge and Malling	3,635
	Vauxhall	Tonbridge and Malling	3,875
	Wrotham, Ightham and Stansted	Tonbridge and Malling	3,573
Tunbridge We	lls CC		70,327
	Brenchley and Horsmonden	Tunbridge Wells	4,076
	Broadwater	Tunbridge Wells	3,019
	Capel	Tunbridge Wells	1,793
	Culverden	Tunbridge Wells	5,725
	Goudhurst and Lamberhurst	Tunbridge Wells	3,527
	Paddock Wood East	Tunbridge Wells	3,089
	Paddock Wood West	Tunbridge Wells	2,881
	Pantiles and St. Mark's	Tunbridge Wells	5,116
	Park	Tunbridge Wells	5,460
	Pembury	Tunbridge Wells	4,428
	Rusthall	Tunbridge Wells	3,612
	Sherwood	Tunbridge Wells	5,237
	Southborough and High Brooms	Tunbridge Wells	5,319
	Southborough North	Tunbridge Wells	3,225
	Speldhurst and Bidborough	Tunbridge Wells	4,630
	St. James'	Tunbridge Wells	4,042
	St. John's	Tunbridge Wells	5,148
Weald of Kent	CC		70,362
	Biddenden	Ashford	2,348
	Isle of Oxney	Ashford	2,233
	Kingsnorth Village & Bridgefield	Ashford	1,796
	Rolvenden & Tenterden West	Ashford	1,974
	Saxon Shore	Ashford	2,082
	Tenterden North	Ashford	1,963
	Tenterden South	Ashford	1,879
	Tenterden St. Michael's	Ashford	2,132
	Upper Weald	Ashford	2,077
	Weald Central	Ashford	3,397

Constituency	Word	Legal outbority	Electorate
Constituency		Local authority	Electorate
	Weald North	Ashford	1,992
	Weald South	Ashford	4,285
	Boughton Monchelsea and Chart Sutton	Maidstone	2,071
	Coxheath and Hunton	Maidstone	6,136
	Headcorn	Maidstone	4,344
	Leeds	Maidstone	1,914
	Loose	Maidstone	2,071
	Marden and Yalding	Maidstone	6,679
	Staplehurst	Maidstone	4,777
	Sutton Valence and Langley	Maidstone	2,291
	Benenden and Cranbrook	Tunbridge Wells	5,294
	Frittenden and Sissinghurst	Tunbridge Wells	1,741
	Hawkhurst and Sandhurst	Tunbridge Wells	4,886
West Isle of W	ight CC		54,712
	Brighstone, Calbourne & Shalfleet	Isle of Wight	2,613
	Carisbrooke & Gunville	Isle of Wight	2,764
	Central Rural	Isle of Wight	2,881
	Chale, Niton & Shorwell	Isle of Wight	2,947
	Cowes Medina	Isle of Wight	3,082
	Cowes North	Isle of Wight	2,809
	Cowes South & Northwood	Isle of Wight	2,914
	Cowes West & Gurnard	Isle of Wight	2,903
	Freshwater North & Yarmouth	Isle of Wight	2,620
	Freshwater South	Isle of Wight	3,132
	Mountjoy & Shide	Isle of Wight	2,752
	Newchurch, Havenstreet & Ashey	Isle of Wight	2,904
	Newport Central	Isle of Wight	2,705
	Newport West	Isle of Wight	2,906
	Pan & Barton	Isle of Wight	2,723
	Parkhurst & Hunnyhill	Isle of Wight	2,759
	Totland & Colwell	Isle of Wight	3,146
	Ventnor & St Lawrence	Isle of Wight	3,027
	Wroxall, Lowtherville & Bonchurch	Isle of Wight	3,125
West New For	rest CC		71,009
	Barton	New Forest	4,933
	Bashley	New Forest	2,337

Constituency	Ward	Local authority	Electorate
	Becton	New Forest	4,137
	Bransgore and Burley	New Forest	4,614
	Buckland	New Forest	2,774
	Downlands and Forest	New Forest	2,504
	Fernhill	New Forest	5,086
	Fordingbridge	New Forest	5,490
	Forest North West	New Forest	2,196
	Hordle	New Forest	4,710
	Lymington Town	New Forest	5,084
	Milford	New Forest	4,355
	Milton	New Forest	5,177
	Pennington	New Forest	5,013
	Ringwood East and Sopley	New Forest	2,360
	Ringwood North	New Forest	5,089
	Ringwood South	New Forest	5,150
West Thanet C	C		76,028
	Beltinge	Canterbury	6,078
	Greenhill	Canterbury	3,159
	Herne & Broomfield	Canterbury	6,554
	Heron	Canterbury	9,743
	Reculver	Canterbury	3,210
	Sturry	Canterbury	6,003
	West Bay	Canterbury	3,263
	Little Stour & Ashstone	Dover	5,723
	Sandwich	Dover	5,214
	Birchington North	Thanet	3,346
	Birchington South	Thanet	5,279
	Garlinge	Thanet	3,747
	Thanet Villages	Thanet	5,742
	Westbrook	Thanet	3,385
	Westgate-on-Sea	Thanet	5,582
Weybridge and	d Chertsey CC		74,908
	Cobham & Downside	Elmbridge	6,231
	Oatlands & Burwood Park	Elmbridge	5,782
	Weybridge Riverside	Elmbridge	5,750
	Weybridge St. George's Hill	Elmbridge	6,089
	Addlestone North	Runnymede	4,150
	Addlestone South	Runnymede	4,718
	Chertsey Riverside	Runnymede	4,353
	Chertsey St. Ann's	Runnymede	4,782

Canatituanav	Word	Legal outhority	Electorete
Constituency	ward	Local authority	Electorate
	Englefield Green East	Runnymede	3,258
	Englefield Green West	Runnymede	3,970
	Longcross, Lyne & Chertsey South	Runnymede	2,607
	New Haw	Runnymede	4,910
	Ottershaw	Runnymede	4,820
	Thorpe	Runnymede	4,365
	Virginia Water	Runnymede	4,201
	Woodham & Rowtown	Runnymede	4,922
Winchester C	С		76,577
	Alresford & Itchen Valley	Winchester	6,884
	Badger Farm & Oliver's Battery	Winchester	6,354
	Bishop's Waltham	Winchester	6,240
	Central Meon Valley	Winchester	7,594
	Colden Common & Twyford	Winchester	4,371
	St. Barnabas	Winchester	6,602
	St. Bartholomew	Winchester	6,311
	St. Luke	Winchester	3,970
	St. Michael	Winchester	6,381
	St. Paul	Winchester	6,692
	The Worthys	Winchester	4,791
	Upper Meon Valley	Winchester	4,509
	Wonston & Micheldever	Winchester	5,878
Windsor CC			72,566
	Egham Hythe	Runnymede	4,819
	Egham Town	Runnymede	4,838
	Colnbrook with Poyle	Slough	3,624
	Foxborough	Slough	2,294
	Langley Kedermister	Slough	6,641
	Ascot & Sunninghill	Windsor and Maidenhead	8,543
	Clewer & Dedworth East	Windsor and Maidenhead	5,215
	Clewer & Dedworth West	Windsor and Maidenhead	5,288
	Clewer East	Windsor and Maidenhead	5,013
	Datchet, Horton & Wraysbury	Windsor and Maidenhead	7,764

Constituency	Ward	Local authority	Electorate
Constituency			
	Eton & Castle	Windsor and Maidenhead	8,254
	Old Windsor	Windsor and Maidenhead	5,614
	Sunningdale & Cheapside	Windsor and Maidenhead	4,659
Witney CC			72,938
	Faringdon	Vale of White Horse	6,075
	Kingston Bagpuize	Vale of White Horse	3,324
	Stanford	Vale of White Horse	2,896
	Thames	Vale of White Horse	2,703
	Watchfield & Shrivenham	Vale of White Horse	5,783
	Alvescot and Filkins	West Oxfordshire	1,431
	Ascott and Shipton	West Oxfordshire	1,677
	Bampton and Clanfield	West Oxfordshire	3,198
	Brize Norton and Shilton	West Oxfordshire	1,642
	Burford	West Oxfordshire	1,572
	Carterton North East	West Oxfordshire	3,924
	Carterton North West	West Oxfordshire	3,832
	Carterton South	West Oxfordshire	3,609
	Ducklington	West Oxfordshire	1,776
	Hailey, Minster Lovell and Leafield	West Oxfordshire	3,267
	Milton-under-Wychwood	West Oxfordshire	1,747
	Standlake, Aston and Stanton Harcourt	West Oxfordshire	3,457
	Witney Central	West Oxfordshire	3,841
	Witney East	West Oxfordshire	5,668
	Witney North	West Oxfordshire	3,081
	Witney South	West Oxfordshire	4,720
	Witney West	West Oxfordshire	3,715
Woking BC			71,737
	Byfleet & West Byfleet	Woking	8,460
	Canalside	Woking	6,682
	Goldsworth Park	Woking	6,539
	Heathlands	Woking	6,891
	Hoe Valley	Woking	6,811
	Horsell	Woking	7,058
	Knaphill	Woking	7,932
	Mount Hermon	Woking	7,009

Constituency	Ward	Local authority	Electorate
	Pyrford	Woking	7,418
	St. John's	Woking	6,937
Wokingham CC			70,235
	Arborfield	Wokingham	2,023
	Barkham	Wokingham	2,959
	Charvil	Wokingham	2,348
	Emmbrook	Wokingham	6,905
	Evendons	Wokingham	6,987
	Finchampstead North	Wokingham	4,285
	Finchampstead South	Wokingham	4,424
	Hurst	Wokingham	2,262
	Norreys	Wokingham	7,754
	Remenham, Wargrave and Ruscombe	Wokingham	4,273
	Swallowfield	Wokingham	2,469
	Twyford	Wokingham	4,515
	Wescott	Wokingham	5,150
	Winnersh	Wokingham	7,570
	Wokingham Without	Wokingham	6,311
Worthing BC			76,607
	Cokeham	Adur	3,514
	Peverel	Adur	3,467
	Broadwater	Worthing	6,717
	Castle	Worthing	6,815
	Central	Worthing	7,247
	Durrington	Worthing	4,549
	Gaisford	Worthing	6,886
	Goring	Worthing	6,906
	Heene	Worthing	6,373
	Marine	Worthing	6,652
	Northbrook	Worthing	4,951
	Selden	Worthing	6,177
	Tarring	Worthing	6,353

Glossary

Λοοοοοσ:	Ctatutavily appainted		Dublio bearing	Formal apportunity in a single	
Assessor	Statutorily appointed technical adviser to the BCE, being either the Registrar General for England and Wales or the Director General of Ordnance Survey.		Public hearing	Formal opportunity in a given area for people to make oral representations, chaired by an Assistant Commissioner. In each region of England there may be no fewer than	
Assistant Commissioner	Independent person appointed at the request of the BCE to assist it with the		two and no more than five hearings, and each may last a maximum of two days.		
Borough constituency (abbreviated to BC)	discharge of its functions. Parliamentary constituency containing a predominantly urban area.		Representations	The views provided by an individual, group or organisation to the BCE on its initial or revised proposals (or on the representations of	
County constituency (abbreviated to CC)	Parliamentary constituency containing more than a small rural element.			others), either for or against, including counter-proposals and petitions.	
Designation	Classification as either a borough constituency or as a county constituency.		Review date	The 'effective date' at which electorate and local government boundary data is fixed so that we can then	
Electorate	The number of registered Parliamentary electors in a given area.			work with it on a stable basis. Defined by the 2020 Act for the 2023 Review as 2 March 2020 for the	
(Statutory/ Permitted) Electorate range	The statutory rule that requires the electorate of every recommended constituency to be – for the 2023 Review – between 69,724 and 77,062.		electorate numbers, and 1 December 2020 for local government boundaries.		
			Revised proposals	The initial proposals as subsequently revised.	
Final recommendations	The recommendations submitted in a formal final report to Parliament at the end of a review. They may – or may not – have been revised since the initial proposals in any given area.		Rules	The statutory criteria for Parliamentary constituencies under Schedule 2 to the Parliamentary Constituencies Act 1986 (as amended by Acts up to and including the 2020 Act).	
Initial proposals	First formal proposals published by the BCE during the review for public consultation.		UK electoral quota	The average number of electors in a constituency, found by dividing the total electorate of the UK (less	
Periodical report	Report to Parliament following a general review of Parliamentary			that of the five specific 'protected' constituencies) by 645.	
Places of deposit	constituencies. In each constituency the Commission will make available hard copies of its initial proposals (including report and maps). The places of deposit where the public may inspect the proposals are usually the offices of the relevant local authority, although other public places such as libraries may be used. The Commission will publish a full list of places of deposit on its website.	Unitary authority	An area where there is only one tier of local council (above any parish or town council). Contrasted with those 'shire district' areas that have two tiers (i.e. both a non-metropolitan county council and a district/borough/city council).		

